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MicroRNA regulation and therapeutic targeting of survivin in cancer
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Abstract: Survivin, the smallest member of IAP (inhibitor of apoptosis) family, is a dual functional protein acting as a critical apoptosis inhibitor and key cell cycle regulator. Survivin is usually expressed in embryonic tissues during development and undetectable in most terminally differentiated tissues. Numerous studies demonstrate that survivin is selectively upregulated in almost all types of human malignancies and its overexpression positively correlates with poor prognosis, tumor recurrence, and therapeutic resistance. This differential expression of survivin in tumors and normal tissues draws a great interest to develop survivin-targeted therapy for cancer treatment. Nonetheless, the molecular mechanisms controlling survivin expression in malignant tumor cells have not been fully understood. While aberrant activation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and the downstream signaling, such as PI-3K/Akt, MEK/MAPK, mTOR, and STAT pathways, have frequently been shown to upregulate survivin, recent data suggest that a class of noncoding RNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs) also play an important role in survivin dysregulation in human cancers. Here, we focus on survivin expression-regulated by specific miRNAs binding to the 3'-UTR of survivin mRNA, and summarize the latest advances on survivin-targeted therapy in clinical trials and the therapeutic potential of survivin-targeting miRNAs in cancer.
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Introduction

Survivin (encoded by gene BIRC5) is the smallest member of inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) protein family. As endogenous inhibitors of caspases, this family has eight known members, including NAIP/BIRC1, cIAP1/BIRC2, cIAP2/BIRC3, XIAP/BIRC4, Survivin/BIRC5, BRUCE/BIRC6, livin/BIRC7, and ILP2/BIRC8 [1, 2]. All IAPs contain at least one BIR (Baculovirus Inhibitor of apoptosis protein Repeat) domain, which is required for their classification as IAP proteins. Survivin has only one BIR domain. The coding gene of survivin, BIRC5 locates at chromosome 17q25 in humans, consisting of three introns and four exons [1, 2]. Alternative splicing of BIRC5 pre-mRNA generates five transcripts, translating into five different proteins, which are wild-type survivin [3], survivin-2B, survivin-Ex3 [4], survivin-2 [5] and survivin-3B [6, 7]. Although survivin was initially discovered as an anti-apoptotic protein, it also serves as an indispensable regulator of cell mitosis [8].
overexpression of survivin is correlated with poor clinical outcome, tumor recurrence, and therapeutic resistance [13, 14]. For instance, we have shown that elevated expression of erbB3 confers paclitaxel resistance in erbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells via PI-3K/Akt-dependent upregulation of survivin [15], and targeting of erbB3 with a therapeutic antibody re-sensitizes the breast cancer cells to paclitaxel mainly through downregulation of survivin [16]. In addition to the survival signaling pathways upstream of survivin, recent studies suggest that epigenetic mechanisms also contribute to survivin dysregulation in human cancers. For example: at transcriptional level, aberrant hypo- or hyper-methylation of survivin promoter could lead to upregulation of survivin [17, 18]; at post-transcriptional level, microRNAs (miRNAs) bind to the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of survivin mRNA and thereby alter survivin protein translation or induce its mRNA degradation. In this review, we summarize our recent understanding on miRNA regulation of survivin in human cancers, and discuss the current and future approaches targeting of survivin for cancer therapy.

**MicroRNA regulation of survivin expression**

MiRNAs are short noncoding RNAs of ~22 nucleotides that negatively regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. In general, miRNAs bind to the 3’-UTR of its target mRNA via sequence-guided recognition to trigger mRNA degradation or translational repression [19]. A mature miRNA forms a RNA-protein complex called RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to carry out its gene silencing function. Although the 3’-UTRs of mRNAs are the most frequent regions of miRNA targeting, the open reading frames (ORFs) could also be targeted. The frequency and effect of ORF targeting by miRNAs are less than that of 3’-UTR targeting, whereas 5’-UTR targeting is a rare event [19, 20]. One miRNA may have multiple mRNA targets; likewise, one mRNA can be regulated by multiple miRNAs. It has been shown that each cancer owns unique pattern of miRNA expression profiles [21]. Several critical survivin-targeting miRNAs have been identified in human cancers.

**MiR-203**

MiR-203 is one of the most studied survivin-targeting miRNAs. MiR-203 directly targets survivin mRNA and plays a pivotal role in prostate cancer (PCa) progression and metastasis. Saini and colleagues found that in advanced PCa, expression of miR-203 was specifically attenuated in the metastatic bone tissues and prostate cancer cell lines derived from bone metastasis [22]. Restoration of miR-203 in PCa cell line PC3 dramatically decreased bone metastasis in a mouse model. Ectopic expression of miR-203 in PC3 cells suppressed epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), invasion, and motility, and induced cell cycle G1 arrest and apoptosis in vitro. The anti-metastatic effect of miR-203 was partially due to downregulation of survivin. Meanwhile, miR-203 also regulated a cohort of pro-metastatic genes, including ZEB2, Bmi1, Smad4, and the bone-specific transcriptional regulators Runx2 and Dlx5 [22]. Its capability to exhibit multi-layer metastatic repression makes miR-203 an intriguing tool in the treatment of advanced PCa [22]. In addition, the expression levels of miR-203 and survivin are inversely correlated in laryngeal cancer patient samples [23]. Forced expression of miR-203 in laryngeal carcinoma cell line Hep-2 decreased cell growth, which was caused by cell cycle G1 arrest [23]. The tumor suppressive activity of miR-203 has also been reported in the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma [24], lung cancer cells [25], and pancreatic cancer cells [26]. Reintroducing miR-203 to these cancer cells inhibited cell proliferation by reduction of survivin [24-26]. One study with estrogen responsive breast cancer MCF7 cells found that estradiol (E2) treatment downregulated survivin-targeting microRNAs - miR-203, miR-16 and miR-143, which were responsible for estradiol-induced cell growth and survivin upregulation [27]. A recent report provided a detailed explanation of miR-203 regulation of survivin in human Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC). A human polyomavirus called Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCV) can integrate, with its tumor-specific T-antigen mutation, into the DNA of tumor cells. MCC can be divided into two subtypes based upon the detectability of MCV T-antigens, MCV-positive (MCV+) MCCs and MCV-negative (MCV-) MCCs. It has been demonstrated that miR-203 induces cell growth inhibition via downregulation of survivin only in MCV-MCCs, but not in MCV+MCCs. In contrast, the expression of survivin in MCV+MCCs is enhanced by MCV T-antigens [28]. Thus, both MCV+MCCs and MCV-MCCs share the same trait of Survivin dysregulation, providing a strong rationale to develop survivin-targeted
therapy for MCCs. Nonetheless, different mechanisms upregulating survivin should be taken into consideration when designing therapeutic strategies.

**MiR-34a**

MiR-34a has been shown to reduce expression of survivin. The mechanism of miR-34a action in controlling survivin expression varies upon different types of cancer. Current literature regarding miR-34a regulation of survivin can be classified as the following: 1) Indirect regulation: with ectopic expression of miR-34a or drug-induced upregulation of miR-34a in cancer cells, survivin is reduced through downregulation of its upstream activators or transcriptional factors, which are targets of miR-34a [29-32]; 2) Direct regulation [33-35]: these studies haven’t confirmed with luciferase reporter assays whether miR-34a directly binds to the 3’-UTR of survivin mRNA and functions, although TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/) predicts a seed sequence for miR-34a at position 1940-1946 of survivin mRNA. MiR-34a was significantly downregulated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) tissues and cell lines [29]. Studies with tumor samples from HNSCC patients revealed an inverse correlation between miR-34a and survivin or E2F3 levels. Overexpression of miR-34a markedly decreased E2F3 and survivin in HNSCC cell line UM-SCC-74A. In the rescue experiments, survivin expression was completely restored by a miR-34a-resistant isoform of E2F3a, whereas E2F3b only partially restored survivin. These data support a crucial role for the miR-34a-E2F3a-survivin axis in mediating miR-34a’s tumor suppressive function in HNSCCs [29]. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells, tocotrienol-induced miR-34a inhibited Notch-1 and subsequently reduced survivin, as survivin was one of the downstream targets of Notch-1 [30]. Ectopic expression of miR-34a in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma cell lines, a gastric cancer cell line and murine melanoma cells was also reported to inhibit cell growth by downregulation of survivin [33-35]. Thus, the precise mechanisms of miR-34a regulation of survivin need to be quested with consideration of cancer types.

**miRNAs with multiple binding sites at 3’-UTR of survivin mRNA**

Among the reported miRNAs that target survivin mRNA (Figure 1), some have multiple binding sites on its 3’-UTR. One of them is miR-542-3p, which has three putative binding sites [36]. Luciferase assays using site-specific mutant constructs have verified that miR-542-3p directly regulates survivin expression primarily through its binding at the 2nd predicted binding site. Since the studies were only conducted in a NSCLC cell line A549, one cannot rule out the possibility that miR-542-3p may simultaneously bind to all three sites to regulate survivin in other tumor types. Transfection of miR-542-3p mimic in cancer cells resulted in downregulation of survivin and growth inhibition due to cell cycle arrest at both G1 and G2/M phases [36]. The role of miR-708 in targeting survivin, inducing apoptosis and suppressing tumorigenicity in renal cancer cells has been reported [37]. The expression of miR-708 was widely attenuated in human renal cell carcinomas (RCCs). Forced expression of miR-708 in RCC cells decreased cell proliferation, clonality, invasion and migration, and increased apoptosis. Intratumoral delivery of miR-708 led to regres-
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Table 1. Reported survivin-targeting miRNAs and their expression status in human cancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNAs</th>
<th>Expression Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-494</td>
<td>Downregulated by TEL-AML1 in ALL [39].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-16</td>
<td>Downregulated by E2 in MCF-7 cells [27].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downregulated in colorectal cancers [90].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-320a</td>
<td>Downregulated by TEL-AML1 in ALL [39].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-542-3p</td>
<td>The 2nd binding site of miR-542-3p on 3'-UTR of survivin was confirmed to be the primary site in A549 cells [36].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-708</td>
<td>Downregulated in renal cell carcinoma [37].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-218</td>
<td>Downregulated by promoter methylation in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Binding on 2nd site was confirmed by mutation with luciferase assay [40].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-143</td>
<td>Downregulated by E2 in MCF-7 cells [27].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-203</td>
<td>Specifically attenuated in advanced metastatic prostate cancer [22].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downregulated in laryngeal cancer [23], hepatocellular carcinoma [24], lung cancer [25], and pancreatic cancer cells [26].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It regulates survivin expression in MCV-MCCs [28].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downregulated by E2 in MCF-7 cells [27].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-150</td>
<td>Reduces survivin levels in Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line DG75 [91].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>E2F3a was downregulated by miR-34a in HNSCCs. Expression of survivin was reduced as the result of E2F3a downregulation [29].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induced by delta-tocotrienol in NSCLC, and thereby downregulates survivin by targeting Notch-1 [30].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induced by CDK1 inhibitor purvalanol A in neuroblastoma, and thereby downregulates survivin by targeting the transcriptional factor MYCN [31].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overexpression in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma [35], gastric cancer [32, 33], and murine melanoma cell lines [34].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


...sion of RCC murine tumor xenografts. Survivin was identified as an important target of miR-708 in carrying out its antitumor activity. Specific knockdown of survivin by siRNA partially phenocopied miR-708 overexpression. It is predicted that miR-708 has three binding sites on 3'-UTR of survivin, which was confirmed by luciferase reporter assays [37]. However, it remains unknown which binding site plays a more critical role or miR-708 equally utilizes all three of them to downregulate survivin. It will be interesting to test whether the miRNAs with multiple binding sites on 3'-UTR of survivin are more effective than those with single binding site to inhibit survivin. We have recently reported that three miRNAs, miR-125a, miR-125b and miR-205 act in concert to downregulate erbB2/erbB3 receptors in human breast cancer cells [38]. It is conceivable to hypothesize that functional cooperation may also exist among the multiple binding sites of one miRNA. Thus, the miRNAs with multiple binding sites may be more promising tools in miRNA-replacement therapy. Since the amount of the miRNAs with multiple-binding sites used in therapy would be less, the effect of such miRNAs could be more specific and their side effects should be minimized.

Regulation of survivin-targeting miRNAs

As aforementioned, the miRNAs targeting survivin are widely attenuated in different types of cancer (Table 1). This raises the questions: how those miRNAs are regulated and what are the causes of their downregulation. TEL-AML1 fusion protein is the most frequently identified in childhood leukemia. Two survivin-targeting miRNAs, miR-494 and miR-320a were found to be upregulated upon TEL-AML1 silencing [39]. The expression levels of miR-494 and miR-320a were lower in TEL-AML1 positive leukemia as compared with the immunophenotype-matched TEL-AML1 negative ones. Within TEL-AML1 positive leukemia, the expression of the two miRNAs was inversely correlated with the expression of survivin. It has been concluded that TEL-AML1 may exert its anti-apoptotic
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Survivin has long been recognized as an important target because of its selective expression in tumor, but not normal tissues [9, 10]. The differential expression of survivin in tumor and normal tissues inspires people to develop survivin-targeted therapy. Although several strategies, including the transcriptional inhibitor YM155, antisense oligonucleotide (ASO), immunotherapy, and gene therapy have been designed to target survivin [14, 51-53], and some of them are actively under clinical trials in a wide variety of human cancers (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), there is currently no survivin-targeted therapy approved for cancer treatment.

Clinical evaluation of survivin-targeted strategy for cancer therapy

LY2181308 (Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) is a 2′-O-methoxymethyl modified ASO targeting survivin mRNA [54]. It has been tested in phase II clinical trials in patients with relapsed or refractory AML (as single agent or combined with idarubicin and cytarabine) [55], NSCLC (combined with docetaxel), and castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (combined with docetaxel/prednisone) [56]. In the treatment of AML, LY2181308 was well tolerated as single agent, and showed some clinical benefits and no additional toxicity in combination with chemotherapy [55]. More patients are needed to validate the data. However, in combination with docetaxel/prednisone, LY2181308 showed no improvement as compared to docetaxel/prednisone alone in the treatment of CRPC [56]. Another survivin-targeting ASO, EZN-3042 (Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Piscataway, NJ) [57] exhibited very good pre-clinical activity in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) cells and mouse models [58, 59], leading to phase I clinical trial in children with relapsed ALL. Unfortunately, severe dose-limiting toxicities, grade 3 γ-glutamyl transferase elevation and grade 3 gastrointestinal bleeding, were seen at dose level 1 (2.5 mg/kg). It was not tolerated when EZN-3042 was combined with chemotherapy. The trial was terminated, and the company ended the development of this agent [60]. Immunotherapies with dendritic cell- or prime-based vaccination targeting survivin are ongoing in various human cancers [61, 62].
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Terameprocol (EM-1421, Erimos Pharmaceuticals, Houston, TX) and YM155 (Astellas Pharma US, Inc., Northbrook, IL) are transcriptional repressors of survivin promoter. The suppressive effect of Terameprocol on survivin is based upon its selective inhibition of Sp1. Thus, Terameprocol is a global transcriptional inhibitor rather than a gene specific inhibitor [63]. It could inhibit the replication of Sp1 regulated viruses [64, 65] and downregulate CDK1 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) via inhibition of Sp1, resulting in cell cycle inhibition, decreased angiogenesis and enhanced apoptosis in tumor cells [66]. Clinical trials have been initiated to test its antitumor activity in leukemia, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and recurrent or refractory solid tumors. YM155 is an agent standing out from chemical library screening with a potent suppressive activity against survivin promoter [67]. It is the only small molecule that has been claimed to specifically block survivin in humans [68]. In the following section, we briefly discuss the mechanism of YM155 action and the current clinical studies of its antitumor activity.

The transcriptional repressor YM155 of survivin

YM155 (also called Sepantronium Bromide) is a small molecule suppressant of survivin promoter. It is an imidazolium-based compound, identified from high-throughput screening of a chemical library with luciferase assays [67]. The Drug Discovery Research group of Astellas Pharma, Inc. has published several articles indicating that YM155 acts as a transcriptional suppressant of survivin. They show that YM155 binds to interleukin enhancer-binding factor 3 (ILF3), leading to dissociation of p54

AX from ILF3 and resulting in distinct subcellular localization of ILF3 and p54

AX [69]. Since the ILF3/p54

AX transcriptional complex is required for survivin expression, thus YM155 downregulates survivin via its capability to disrupt the ILF3/p54

AX complex [69, 70]. It has also been reported that YM155 disrupts the binding of Sp1 to survivin core promoter region, leading to suppressed survivin promoter activity and consequent survivin reduction [71]. YM155 inhibits survivin expression and induces cell death of various cancer cells at nanomolar level in vitro [67, 72]. As a leading inhibitor of survivin being tested in clinical trials (http://www.clinicaltrial.gov/ct2/results?term=YM155&Search=Search), YM155 has become the first choice in survivin-related studies. Meanwhile, questions have been raised regarding the mechanisms and specificity of YM155 [68]. Trevor Glaros and colleagues provide the first evidence suggesting that YM155 is a DNA damage agent rather than a transcriptional suppressor of survivin, because the concentrations of YM155 required inducing rH2AX and P-KAP1, two hallmarks of DNA damage, were much lower than that needed to inhibit survivin [73]. Therefore, downregulation of survivin-induced by YM155 is likely a secondary event following DNA damage. Data from a human Merkel cell carcinoma cell line MKL-1 showed that YM155 did not induce G2/M arrest, but reduced all early DNA synthesis. In addition, YM155 only decreased the growth rate of tumor xenografts-established from MKL-1 cells and the tumors grew back when YM155 was withdrawn [74]. Our recent studies with the acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell line Kasumi-1 reveal similar results of the “off-target” effects of YM155. Lower concentrations of YM155 that promotes Kasumi-1 cells undergoing apoptosis fail to downregulate survivin, rather significantly enhance rH2AX. Furthermore, YM155 does not induce cell cycle G2/M arrest in Kasumi-1 cells, whereas specific knockdown of survivin expression with shRNAs does (Huang and Liu, unpublished data).

Despite the controversies of the mechanism of action, YM155 has being tested in several phase II clinical studies, as monotherapy or combined with traditional chemotherapeutics or monoclonal antibodies. In a study of 41 patients with refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), only 1 patient had a complete remission and 2 patients responded with a median progression-free survival of 58 days, indicating a very limited activity of YM155 as single agent in DLBCL [75]. Phase II studies with YM155 as monotherapy have also been carried out in unresectable stage III or IV melanoma [76], advanced refractory NSCLC [77], castration-resistant taxane-pretreated prostate cancer [78]. Although the adverse events of YM155 as single therapy was well tolerated, its antitumor activity was fairly modest [75-78]. Thus, the combinatorial strategies of YM155 with other therapeutics were sought in clinical trials. Currently, YM155 in combination with docetaxel is being tested in patients with stage III or IV melanoma (NCT01009775), advanced hormone refractory prostate cancer (NC-
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T00514267), and Her2 negative metastatic breast cancer (NCT01038804). Recent studies reveal that the combinations of YM155 and monoclonal antibody Rituximab (anti-CD20) [79] or alemtuzumab/Campath-1H (anti-CD25) [80] show significant improvements in tumor regression and survival in mouse models with human B-Cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma or adult T-cell leukemia, respectively. Corresponding clinical studies are ongoing in CD20-positive B cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients (NCT01007292) and adult T-Cell leukemia/lymphoma patients (NCT00061048). Nonetheless, a recent phase I/II study indicates that YM155 is safe, but it fails to show an improvement in response rates to paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with advanced NSCLC [81]. This failure is likely due to limitations in the specificity of YM155 and its insufficient inhibition of survivin in patients [51]. It is believed that novel therapies which can effectively downregulate survivin in vivo are required to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy, and thereby reduce the risk of relapse and improve the survival of patients with cancers, including NSCLC.

**Therapeutic potential of survivin-targeting miRNAs in human cancers**

One of the main goals in studying survivin-targeting miRNAs is to integrate those miRNAs in treating cancer patients. MiRNA-based therapeutic strategies can be divided by their expression levels: 1) miRNA replacement therapy is applied to bring back downregulated miRNAs (for cancer: tumor suppressive miRNAs); 2) miRNA inhibition therapy is applied to suppress overexpressed miRNAs (for cancer: oncogenic miRNAs) [82]. Since stability of miRNAs, uptake efficiency, toxicity and targeting specificity issues are big concerns in in vivo conditions, most ongoing miRNA-related clinical trials use miRNAs as biomarkers, other than therapeutic tools [83, 84]. MiR-122 is the first miRNA reached clinical trials as targeted therapy. MiR-122 in HCV (Hepatitis C virus) patients is heptatically inhibited by an anti-miR122 drug (a locked nucleic acid (LNA)-modified miR-122 antagonist), named miraviren/ SPC3649 (Santaris Pharma A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark), study of which has already reached phase II clinical trial (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=mir122&Search=Search) [83]. In May 2013, the first clinical trial using miR-34 mimics (trade name: MRX34, Mirna Therapeutics, Austin, TX) as replacement therapy (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01829971) was initiated. It is worth noting that, this is the first and only miRNA replacement therapy carried out under clinical trial up until now. In addition to survivin, the other targets of miR-34a also involve in G1/S transition (c-MYC, E2F, CDK4, CDK6), apoptosis inhibition (Bcl2, SIRT1) and tumor cell invasion (c-MET) [85], thus render a wide range benefit for miR-34 replacement therapy. Now the ongoing clinical trial of MRX34 is carried out in liver-base cancers and hematologic malignancies.

**miRNA delivery methods**

To use miRNAs in vivo for treatment, proper delivery methods are required to transport the miRNAs to their targets. The delivery systems of miRNA can be divided as viral-based systems and non-viral systems. Toxicity and immunogenicity are major drawbacks of viral-base vectors, limiting their clinical usage [82]. Non-viral delivery systems, including PEI (Polyethyleneimine) and lipid-based systems are more favorable for clinical use. The materials and methods of nonviral delivery systems are reviewed by Zhang et al in detail [82]. The nanoparticles synthesized from different materials with distinct surface modifications are also tested for miRNA delivery [82, 85]. It is believed that efficient, low toxic and specific delivery of survivin-targeting miRNAs to cancer cells is important for effective cancer therapy. Antibody-coated nanoparticles have been studied as a delivery system for survivin-targeting miRNAs [34, 86, 87]. Chen et al [34] used a liposome-polycation-hyaluronic acid nanoparticle formulation modified with tumor-targeting single-chain antibody fragment (scFv) for systemic delivery of miR-34a in lung metastasis of murine B16F10 melanoma. Delivered miR-34a downregulated survivin expression in the metastatic tumor and reduced tumor burden in the lung. Gaca et al [87] developed a human serum albumin-based nanoparticulate carrier system for delivery of an engineered miRNA, whose sequence was based on a siRNA targeting at the ORF of survivin mRNA. The nanoparticle enhanced sensitivity of colorectal cancer SW480 cells to the treatment of ionizing radiation [87]. In a following study, the human
Serum albumin nanoparticle was coupled with a membrane heat shock protein Hsp70-specific antibody (cmHsp70.1). The cmHsp70.1-conjugated survivin-targeting miRNA nanoparticle exhibited an enhanced tumor cell uptake and increased the efficacy of radiation therapy in vitro, suggesting that development of antibody-based tumor specific targeting systems for miRNAs would be beneficial [86].

Future direction

To effectively treat cancer patients, one of the optimal strategies is to find targets which can distinguish tumor cells from normal tissues. The goal is to aim at these targets to kill cancer cells while minimize the hazards to healthy cells. Because of its selective expression in tumor tissues, survivin is considered as one of those targets and has been studied over a decade since its identification in 1997 [3]. Survivin has been consistently confirmed to play a vital role in tumor cell survival. A number of cancer survival-related signaling pathways converge on survivin and the expression of survivin is highly correlated with therapeutic resistance, advanced tumor, and poor prognosis. Survivin is not an enzyme or a cell-surface molecule, excluding it from traditional drug targets. Nonetheless, it possesses nodal properties and involves in multiple signaling mechanisms in tumor maintenance. Thus, ensuring interventions on survivin can cause devastating results to cancer cells [9]. Although the expression of survivin is high during embryonic development and undetectable in most terminally differentiated tissues, it does express in some normal adult tissues and cells, such as hematopoietic progenitor cells, vascular endothelial cells, T cells, erythroid cells, ovary, testes, and liver [88]. Functions of these normal tissues should be taken into consideration when using survivin as a target for cancer therapy [88].

Studies on understanding the molecular basis of survivin dysregulation in human cancers provide us new avenues to identify novel therapeutic strategy inhibiting survivin. The miRNAs binding to 3'-UTR of survivin mRNA emerge as important players for regulation of survivin expression. The specific miRNA expression profiles [21] in cancers present unique opportunities to manipulate survivin epigenetically. We believe that miRNAs, particular those with multiple binding sites on 3'-UTR of survivin mRNA, are novel, exciting tools to effectively inhibit survivin for cancer treatment. As “sister” miRNAs work cooperatively to downregulate their common targets [89], it is likely that the multiple binding sites of one miRNA may exhibit synergistic activity to inhibit the target. The two miRNAs, miR-542-3p and miR-708, each of which has three binding sites on 3'-UTR of survivin mRNA, should be more specific and effective than other miRNAs with single or two binding sites to downregulate survivin. It is worth testing whether miR-542-3p and/or miR-708 may be developed as promising agents targeting of survivin to enhance the efficacy of those commonly used therapeutic agents in cancer treatment.
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