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Glucose transport: meeting the metabolic demands of cancer, and applications in glioblastoma treatment
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Abstract: GLUT1, and to a lesser extent, GLUT3, appear to be interesting targets in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme. The current review aims to give a brief history of the scientific community’s understanding of these glucose transporters and to relate their importance to the metabolic changes that occur as a result of cancer. One of the primary changes that occurs in cancer, the Warburg Effect, is characterized by an extreme shift toward glycolysis from the usual reliance on oxidative phosphorylation and is currently being investigated to target the upstream and downstream factors responsible for Warburg-induced changes. Further, it aims to explain the differential expression of GLUT1 and GLUT3 in glioblastoma tissue, and how these modulations in expression can serve as targets to restore a more normal metabolism. Additionally, hypoxia-induced factor-1α’s (HIF1α) role in a number of transcriptional changes typical to GBM will be discussed, including its role in GLUT upregulation. Finally, the four known subtypes of GBM [proneural, neural, mesenchymal, and classical] will be characterized in order to discuss how metabolic changes differ in each subtype. These changes have the potential to be selectively targeted in order to provide specificity to the clinical treatment options in GBM.
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A brief overview of the glucose transporters

In 1948, Paul LeFavre first postulated the existence of a relatively vague mechanism for glucose uptake into metabolically active cells, and that this mechanism works via facilitated diffusion rather than by passive diffusion [1]. Later, in 1981, Baldwin and colleagues reported on a specific protein transporter, (what would eventually be characterized as GLUT1) that uptakes glucose into human erythrocytes [2]. Currently, 14 GLUT transporters have been characterized in various human tissues [3], all comprising the Solute Carrier 2 (SLC2) family of transporters.

The GLUT family exhibits two unique traits that helps us understand in order to understand how cancers can “hijack” these transporters in order to survive. First, the various GLUTs are expressed in differing amounts dependent on tissue type [4]. Second, the expression levels of each GLUT are under strict transcriptional control to a large degree, contingent on metabolic demands of the tissue [5]. This second characteristic of GLUT expression makes it a very logical target for any pathological condition that involves the metabolism of glucose, as glucose influx into a cell is the first rate-limiting process involved in glucose metabolism. Cancer in particular takes advantage of a cell’s ability to generate energy from numerous pathways, and this flexibility in energy derivation is also a leading target in the development of therapeutic agents.

Prior to the discovery of glucose transporters in 1927, Otto Warburg postulated the shift in cancer cells toward glycolysis as their primary method of energy derivation in what is now known as the Warburg Effect [6]. Glycolysis is the most time-effective and least energetically demanding process by which a cell can derive additional energy, despite its lower yield of ATP when compared to that of oxidative phosphory-
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Additionally, cancer utilizes the fact that glycolysis happens in the cytosol in order to continue unchecked proliferation, migration, and invasion, bypassing mitochondrial transport in the process [8]. In order to engage in the Warburg effect, the cancer cells use much more glucose than a normal cell, which harvests most of its energy from aerobic metabolism [9], and so it follows that the ability for such cells to bring glucose into their cytoplasm needs to be increased.

The upregulation of various glucose transporters, primarily GLUTs 1, 3, and 4, is observed in endometrial cancer [10], gastric cancer [11], squamous cell carcinomas [12], ovarian cancer [13], meningiomas [13], and glioblastomas [14], and in many of these cell lines where the Warburg Effect is most apparent, efforts have been made to develop therapeutic strategies that involve halting the influx of glucose into the cell [15]. This mechanism of treatment deserves to be explored more extensively, particularly in difficult-to-treat cancers with poor prognoses such as glioblastoma, due to the fact that GLUT1 contributes to the maintenance of the blood-brain barrier [16]. Specifically, GLUTs 1 & 3 have been identified as proteins that are transcriptionally upregulated in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), and the mechanism by which these transporters are upregulated in conjunction with directly or indirectly decreasing the amount of glucose that these transporters allow into cells can lend insight to the reversal of the Warburg Effect observed in GBM tissue.

Glucose transporter 1 upregulation in hypoxic microenvironments: promoting the Warburg effect in glioblastoma

As early as 1992, differential expression of glucose transporters has been noted in various grades of glioma, with an observed upregulation of GLUT1, the most prevalent type of GLUT [17]. There was contention as to whether GLUT1 was overexpressed [17] or underexpressed [18] in GBM, but it is now believed that there are areas of both over and underexpression of GLUT1 in GBM tissue [19]. The glucose transporter's most significant overexpression is observed in the intermediate zone of the tumor [20]. This intermediate region of the tumor is characterized as both moderately hypoxic and exhibiting the highest amounts of HIF-1α within the tumor [20].

In these regions of unchecked proliferation and low-functioning apoptotic regulation, GLUT1 is recruited via increased transcription by a number of contributing oncogenes to provide energy to regions where oxidative phosphorylation is not as viable due to a decreased availability of oxygen and/or the modulation of mitochondria by the cancerous cells. Therefore, an increase of GLUT1 is largely observed in tumor-associated vessel endothelium and within the cells proper, with a decrease in expression observed in tumor-associated fibroblasts [21]. An added result of this hypoxic environment that is created at the tumor’s core and intermediate zone is the upregulation of hypoxia-induced factor-1α (HIF-1α), which will now be discussed in relation to its cascade of effects on hypoxic tissue such as that which is seen in glioblastoma.

HIF1α’s downstream effects on GLUT transcription & GBM metabolism

Hypoxia-induced factor-1α (HIF-1α) is a protein that is highly transcribed for during times of hypoxic stress (i.e., at a tumor’s inner regions), and has wide-ranging control over how these hypoxic cells derive and convert energy in order to survive, and whether or not they differentiate from their stem-like origins. Thus, the downstream products of HIF-1α, namely, GLUT1, is also important in determining the frequency at which these tumor cells differentiate and proliferate. HIF-1α has four major effects on GBM cells. Primarily, and perhaps most importantly to this review, HIF-1α increases GLUT1 expression. GLUT1 and OCT4 transcription are activated as a response to HIF-1α in hypoxic conditions seen most highly expressed in the intermediate zone of the tumor [22]. This activation also contributes to the stem-like phenotype observed in the core zone of GBM, as GLUT1 blockades have been shown to inhibit self-renewal of cancer stem cells [23]. Additionally, the HIF-1α cascade contributes to the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition observed in glioblastoma cells [24]. This transition is essential in the phenotypic hyperplasticity that GBM tissue exhibits. Second, HIF-1α activates transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [25]. VEGF is expressed in the peripher-
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al and intermediate zones of GBM, and have been a target in therapeutic efforts [20]. VEGF/VEGFR2 inhibitors have been shown to normalize vessels and decrease angiogenesis, but not tumorigenesis; however, the inhibition of angiogenesis in the peripheral zone of these tumors should attenuate the hyper-vascularity observed in this more normoxic region [26]. VEGF receptors have also been related to GLUT1 activity in stem cells, as VEGF receptor and calcium channel transactivation upregulate GLUT1 synthesis and trafficking to the cellular membrane, demonstrating the redundancy that exists in HIF’s ability to upregulate a cell’s glucose intake via GLUT1 [27]. Finally, HIF-1α upregulates expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1). PDK1 normally increases ATP production via glycolysis, decreases reactive oxygen species production, and decreases programmed cell death. It also typically inhibits pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) inhibiting the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-coenzyme A [28]. Therefore, down-regulation of PDK1 will decrease energy availability to the cancer cells, initiating a cascade leading ultimately to apoptosis. PDK1 down-regulation is a contributing factor to the ultimate attenuation of tumorigenesis in HIF-targeted therapies. Due to these three factors, an interesting and novel approach to treating GBM would involve the inactivation of GLUT1, in conjunction with the already-established downregulation of PDK1 transcription, which has potential in inhibiting tumorigenesis, leading to an impaired cancer growth and apoptosis [29] (Figure 1).

Therapeutic strategies that target HIF-1α inhibition rely on minimizing hyper-vascularization in the periphery of the tumor via reduced VEGF transcription [30]. Simultaneously, they attempt to eliminate the Warburg Effect modulations occurring at the core and intermediate zones of

---

**Figure 1.** Interplay of Effectors and Inhibitors on GLUT1. This is a map that depicts both GLUT1 effectors and inhibitors as well as downstream targets that GLUT1 effects or inhibits. HIF-1α and VEGF-A are notably present again, emphasizing the interplay that exists between these molecules, in addition to the tumor suppressor p53 as well as the proto-oncogene c-MYC. (Modified from Metacore, a Thomas-Reuters product).
the tumor by decreasing glucose availability via GLUT1 down-regulation and glycolytic activity via PDK1 down-regulation [31]. Upstream targets to stop HIF-induced transcriptional changes have also been largely tested [32]. As an example, the Ras pathway has been targeted in attempts to stop these HIF-triggered changes [33]. More recent therapies to combat the transcriptional changes induced by HIF include the silencing of the MCT4 gene, which has reduced the capacity to survive in some forms of glioblastoma, especially those with large CD133+ cell populations, to proliferate in vitro and reduced the ability of GBM to form intracranial xenografts in vivo [34]. Another gene targeted in this attempt to impair cellular responses to hypoxia is the c-MYC gene, which has been well-characterized as a major player in the HIF-mediated changes that cancer cells undergo [35]. This HIF pathway also is affected by ERK1/2, PKM2, and MDM2, which have been targeted in GBM therapies that focus on hypoxia-induced phenotypic plasticity as well [36]. HIF-1α also appears to affect transcription of GLUT3, and so there is utility in discussing this transporter as well when exploring therapeutic targets for GBM [36].

GLUT3 expression in brain tumor-initiating cells

Although much of the discussion in glucose transport in GBM revolves around GLUT1, GLUT3 also has interesting transcriptional modulation in brain cancers. GLUT3 has historically been characterized as the “brain type” glucose transporter, as it is most expressed in neurons [37]. In comparing the expression levels of GLUT1 and GLUT3 within brain tumor-initiating cells (BTICs), researchers found a positive increase of 300% from non-BTICs to BTICs in GLUT3 expression, and a lesser increase of 20% in GLUT1 [38]. Additionally, GLUT3 expression levels have been found to serve as a highly correlated factor in the determination of the clinical outcomes of those afflicted with classical and proneural subtypes of glioblastoma [38]. Moreover, the correlation between upregulation of GLUT3 and acquisition of a stem cell state has been supported by a significant increase of GLUT3 expression in induced pluripotent cells, as opposed to parental fibroblasts [38]. GLUT3 expression is upregulated during hypoxic conditions, and those cells cultured under 20% oxygen had significant reductions in GLUT3 expression when compared with cells that experienced hypoxic conditions [39]. However, this work has been met with some contradictory research, as other labs working on similar tissue showed that GLUT3 transcription is affected by neither hypoxia nor oxidative phosphorylation inhibition [40]. Finally, GLUT3 expression is found to be correlated with that of OCT4, a protein which exhibits cooperative interactions with HIF-1α and acts as a pluripotency marker [39]. Although immunocytochemistry studies from Christensen’s work suggest that GLUT3 leads to higher expression of OCT4, hinting at the possible existence of a positive feedback cycle between the proteins, more quantitative measures should be performed to confirm these results [39]. Now that both GLUT1 and GLUT3, the two main glucose transporters implicated in Warburg-resultant changes, have been well-established, it is important to look to current trends in GBM subtyping in order to determine whether GLUT-specific targeting can be accomplished to provide specificity in the disease’s patients.

Subtyping glioblastoma multiforme to create specificity in treatment

Current literature recognizes four primary subtypes of GBM: namely, proneural, neural, classical, and mesenchymal, and, as previously stated, the identification and further characterization of specific subtypes may assist with further understanding and developing treatment methods for this devastating cancer. To determine relative localizations of the various subtypes, Steed and colleagues sought to quantitatively evaluate the distance from the subventricular zone (SVZ) that each subtype tends to lie. The reason for using this zone as an origin of sorts is that within the adult brain, the SVZ is the area wherein both neural stem cells and astrocyte precursors reside [41-43]. It was found that proneural and neural subtypes of GBM are found to be in relative proximity with the SVZ, and exhibit expression patterns paralleling those of neural stem cells. In comparison, the classical and mesenchymal subtype locations are found dispersed more evenly throughout the brain and farther from the SVZ [44].

The proneural subtype, most common in younger GBM patients, is most commonly characterized by the high amounts of the proteins coded by the mutated platelet-derived growth factor
receptor alpha (PDGFR) and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) genes [45]. However, in samples used by Verhaak and colleagues, the PDGFR mutation was less prevalent when the IDH1 point mutations were present, suggesting IDH1’s relative importance in the determination of the proneural subtype [45]. The mutated IDH1 gene causes the production of D-2-hydroxyglutarate instead of α-ketoglutarate, preventing the continuation of cell development, and causing underdeveloped cells to accumulate and form tumors [46, 47]. The normal PDGFR gene creates its namesake receptor protein, which, in turn, regulates cell growth and division. However, the mutation common in proneural cells causes overproduction of this receptor and division of immature cells [48]. Additional data analyzed by Verhaak and colleagues showed that proneural patients, when exposed to concomitant chemo-radiation treatment, showed no change in their survival rate, implying that different therapies must be used [45]. However, perhaps in part due to the younger age of the proneural patients, the survival rate was longer than the other three subtypes [45].

Neural GBM cells are most often identified by the presence of the markers: NEFL, GABRA1, SYT1 and SLC12A5 [45]. SLC12A5 codes for another member of the solute-like carrier family of transporters of which the GLUTs are members, but this particular transporter is a potassium-chloride cotransporter. These cells also contain similar mutations as the other three types, with no specific gene currently identified as having a higher or lower mutation rate than the others. According to data analyzed by Verhaak, neural GBM patients were, on average, the oldest, and displayed lower mortality rates after given aggressive treatment compared to those given non-aggressive treatment. However, the difference between the treatment groups was not adequately significant, and therefore, more data must be collected [45].

The classical subtype is identified by mutation differences in two major genes. Primarily, the EGFR gene is mutated at a higher rate than it is in the other subtypes. Secondly, there is a lack of mutation in the TP53 gene, which is mutated in the other three subtypes [45]. EGFR creates the epidermal growth factor receptor, a protein. In classical GBM, the mutation causes an increase in the expression of the gene, leading to increased division of immature cells [49]. Perhaps most relevant to the scope of this review, in other cancer types, GLUT1 upregulation appears to be coupled with increases in EGFR expression [50], which leads to the interesting question: can GLUT1 in particular be targeted in the classical subtype? The TP53 gene is a tumor suppressor, inducing apoptosis in cells with DNA that is damaged beyond repair. However, the mutation leads to a decrease in expression of the gene and lack of apoptotic behavior in cells [51]. Fortunately, current data indicates that classical subtype cell viability in vitro decreased and in vivo models in the lab showed a significant decrease in mortality after receiving aggressive treatment [45].

Finally, the mesenchymal subtype is characterized by the mutations of the NF1 and PTEN genes. Both genes are tumor suppressors, with their mutations inhibiting their expressions [45]. NF1 suppresses the tumors by regulating RAS and adenyl cyclase, both involved in cell growth and division [52]. Unexpectedly, GLUT1 was found to be “potently suppressed” when these pathways were simultaneously targeted in NF1 tumors, which has significant implications for the merits of targeting GLUT1 in this subtype [53]. PTEN tumor suppression consists of preventing activation of PDK and AKT, which, due to the lack of cell growth promoters, eventually causes apoptosis [54]. With both genes having mutations, the cell can continue to divide and proliferate uncontrollably, creating the tumors. Similar to the classical subtype, the patients analyzed by Verhaak and colleagues displayed a lower mortality rate when exposed to the more aggressive treatment, implying that this treatment should be used if the patient has a mesenchymal tumor [45].

In understanding that glioblastoma multiforme exists due to a number of different types of gene mutations as described above, it seems reasonable to believe that any given treatment targeting GLUT1 will have varying effects on the different subtypes of GBM that exist. As an example, one study looked to see whether IDH-1-mutated gliomas exhibited higher levels of GLUT1, finding that there is a very slight, but not significant, increase in GLUT1 expression in IDH-1-mutated tumors [55]. Similarly, TP53, one of the genes found to be mutated in classi-
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Table 1. Direct and Indirect GLUT1 Inhibitors in Clinic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Clinical Use</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WZB117</td>
<td>Colon cancer treatment</td>
<td>Small-molecular inhibitor of GLUT1</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STF31</td>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma treatment</td>
<td>Small-molecular inhibitor of GLUT1</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reseveratrol</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer treatment</td>
<td>Interrupts GLUT1 trafficking to membrane</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasentin</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Selective GLUT1 inhibitor/sensitizes cell to death ligand</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>Flavonoid (No validated clinical use)</td>
<td>Competitive inhibitor of GLUT1</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forskalin</td>
<td>Flavonoid (No validated clinical use)</td>
<td>Competitive inhibitor of GLUT1</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochalain B</td>
<td>Actin polymerization inhibitor</td>
<td>Competitive inhibitor of GLUT1</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>Ca++ Channel Blocker</td>
<td>Decreases stress-induced transport activity of GLUT1</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above is a table of notable GLUT1 inhibitors and their general mechanisms of action, as well as where papers on their utility as GLUT1 inhibitors can be found. There are a number of flavonoids on this list, as well as already-established chemotherapeutic agents for cancer.

Inhibition of glucose transporters in GBM

In order to properly target those glucose transporters found to be overexpressed in glioblastoma, it is critical that GLUT inhibitors are identified and described. Fasentin, a small-molecule inhibitor of GLUT1 characterized by Wood & colleagues in 2008, [57], has been found to directly bind to GLUT1 and thus inhibit the uptake of glucose in prostate cancer cells [58]. Furthermore, fasentin was shown to inhibit glucose uptake even when simultaneously administered with 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG), an analogue of glucose. Those patients afflicted with cerebral glioma responded well to an oral dose of 200 mg per kg of bodyweight [70]. However, 2-DG was also found to exhibit side effects similar to those found in hypoglycemia through interruption of glucose transporters. Fasentin has been shown to work by both blocking glucose influx into the cell and sensitizing the death ligand on the cell, pushing the cell toward FAS-induced apoptosis [57]. Although no literature appears to exist on fasentin being used in GBM treatment, it certainly poses as a potential therapy of interest in a combinatorial treatment strategy (Table 1).

Additionally, flavonoids, a class of 15-carbon ringed secondary metabolites of plants and fungi, act as inhibitors of the GLUT1 transporter [59]. Quercetin is one such example of a flavonoid that inhibits the efflux of glucose from human red blood cells [59], and it has been used in the treatment of a number of cancer types, including in the treatment glioblastoma, where is has been shown to facilitate mitochon-
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drial-mediated apoptosis [60]. Additionally, a number of labs have demonstrated that GBM cells respond more to temozolomide treatment when administered simultaneously with quercetin [61-63]. As a more potent alternative, cytochalasin B also works as an inhibitor to GLUT1 [57]. Its potency is too strong, though, as researchers found cytochalasin B to be directly toxic towards all cell lines tested.

There are other organic molecules derived from plant metabolism that seem to have similar inhibitory function on GLUT transporters. Rubusoside, a component of Chinese sweet leaf tea, proves to be one such inhibitor [64]. Recent studies have also elucidated the fact that Rubus leaf extract may provide antiangiogenic effects through a mechanism of its gallic acid. In fact, when injected intraperitoneally with 0.1% sweet leaf extract, researchers found a 41% inhibition of angiogenesis. The inhibition enacted, however, only partially and did not exceed 50% at non-cytotoxic doses (Figure 2).

Conclusion

Understanding the tenants of how glucose enters cells via facilitated diffusion is central to creating better targets for therapeutic agents in many cancer lines. In glioblastoma multiforme in particular, but certainly in many other cancers as well, the Warburg Effect plays a central role in the pleiotropic changes that occur in affected tissue. The hypoxic environment that exists at the inner regions of the tumor causes a cascade, initiated by HIF-1α, which leads to an noted increase in GLUT1 expression amongst a number of other transcriptional changes that allow the cells at this core to shift largely to glycolysis as their primary source of ATP synthesis. GLUT3 also appears to perhaps be upregulated in the hypoxic environment, but this fact has been met with contention. Regardless, the degree to which this transporter is upregulated is largely predictive of patient outcomes in clinic. Additionally, the subtyping of GBM and other cancers is essential now more than ever because of researchers and clinicians alike now have the ability to develop and implement increasingly specific therapies. By better understanding the nuanced variations in metabolism and phenotype that exist between subtypes of a given cancer, prognoses for patients will continue to improve.
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