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Isobolographic analysis demonstrates additive effect of cisplatin and HDIs combined treatment augmenting their anti-cancer activity in lung cancer cell lines
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Abstract: Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDIs) are a new class of drugs which affect the activity of HDACs resulting in changed of acetylation in many proteins. HDIs can induce differentiation, cell growth arrest, apoptosis, inhibit proliferation and angiogenesis in cancer, whereas normal cells are comparatively resistant to the action of HDIs. The aim of this study was to investigate the combined effect of a well-known cytostatic agent-cisplatin (CDDP) and a histone deacetylase inhibitors-either suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat) or valproic acid (VPA), on the proliferation of lung cancer cells, as well as induction of apoptosis and inhibition of the cell cycle progression. The anti-proliferative activity of VPA or SAHA used alone, or in combination with CDDP were determined by means of MTT test. The type of pharmacologic interactions between HDAC inhibitors and CDDP was assessed using isobolographic analysis. We observed additive interactions for the CDDP with SAHA, as well as for the CDDP with VPA combinations with respect to their anti-proliferative effects on three different lung cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170). Such additive effects were observed regardless of the histologic type (adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma) and sensitivity for the drugs applied. Combination treatment also augmented the induction of apoptosis and cell cycle perturbation mediated by CDDP alone, thereby enhancing anti-cancer effect of tested drugs. In conclusion, the combined therapy of HDIs and CDDP may be a promising therapeutic tool in the treatment of lung cancer.
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Introduction

Lung carcinoma is the most frequent cancer cause of death worldwide (26% of all female and 28% of all male cases) [1-3]. In the current treatment for many tumors, including lung cancer, cisplatin (CDDP) is a widely used drug. CDDP blocks cell division, inhibits DNA replication and induces apoptosis [4, 5]. This routine chemotherapy is limited due to serious adverse effects and the development of cisplatin resistance [6, 7]. Thereby, new therapeutic strategies, including targeted therapy, are being looked for. Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDIs) are new promising cytostatic agents that interfere with the activity of histone deacetylases (HDACs) [8]. The sodium salt of 2-propylpentanoic acid (VPA, valproic acid) for decades has been used for treatment of epilepsy and bipolar disorders [9]. It has also been shown that VPA can suppress neoplastic cell growth and induce apoptosis in vitro, and in vivo [8, 10]. VPA binds to the catalytic pocket of lysine HDACs forming complexes with Zn2+ via its carboxyl group and inhibit their activity. VPA-induced inhibition of HDACs result in hyperacetylation of histone N-terminal tails, which gives a negative charge and decreases their affinity for DNA, leading to decondensation of chromatin and transcriptional activation [11-15]. There are several mechanisms, which could be responsible for anti-cancer action of VPA, often depend-
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...ing on target cancer cell types. For example, VPA induces cell cycle arrest due to increase of p21, p27 cell cycle inhibitors and decrease in cyclin D1 expression [16-18]. It was also reported that VPA treatment resulted in down-regulation of anti-apoptotic protein survivin [19] and SMAD4 transcription factor [20].

Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat, Zolizna®) is the first HDI approved by FDA for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. It has also been shown that SAHA has anticancer effect in lung cancer cells [21, 22]. Like VPA, SAHA seems to induce the expression of many factors involved in apoptosis, differentiation and growth suppression as demonstrated in several cancer cell lines, including A549 lung cancer cells [8, 21]. It was also reported that SAHA's inhibition of HDACs suppresses telomerase activity by decreasing the expression of telomerase reverse transcriptase via epigenetic regulation mechanism in adenocarcinoma A549 lung cells [8, 23, 24]. In other cancer cell types, SAHA downregulated cell cycle-associated factors that modulate the G1/S transition, including cyclin D1 and cdc25a, and the G2/M transition (cyclin B1, Plk1, Aurora kinase A) in breast cancer cells [25], inhibited HIF-1α expression in liver cancer-derived cell lines [26], upregulated MHC class I-related chain molecules A and B expression through miR-17-92 cluster, thereby enhancing the sensitivity of hepatoma to natural killer cell-mediated lysis [27], or triggered autophagy through the down-regulation of AKT-MTOR signaling in glioblastoma stem cells [28].

Parallel to the development and introduction of new types of chemotherapeutics, the combination of HDIs with other anticancer drugs has been considered as a new promising strategy in cancer treatment [29]. In our study, we examined the anti-proliferative activity of VPA and SAHA used alone, or in combination with CDDP using isobolographic protocol in A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170 lung cancer cell lines, supplemented by apoptosis induction and cell cycle inhibition analyses.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

All non-small lung cancer cell lines: A549 human adenocarcinoma (ATCC® CCL185™), NCI-H1563 human adenocarcinoma (ATCC® CRL-5875™), and NCI-H2170 human squamous cell carcinoma (ATCC® CRL5928™) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). A549 cell line was grown in DMEM/F12 culture medium (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170 were maintained in RPMI1640 (Sigma) culture medium with additional 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 2.5 g/L glucose (Sigma). All cells were cultured in medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), streptomycin (100 µg/mL) plus penicillin (100 IU/mL) (Sigma). Mycoplasma-free cultures were kept in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Drugs

CDDP and VPA were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and dissolved in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ as 1 mg/ml, and 100 mM stock solution, respectively. SAHA was purchased from Cayman Chemical (San Diego, CA, USA), and was diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 10 mM as stock solution. The drugs were dissolved to the respective concentration with culture medium before use.

Cell viability assay

Cancer cells were plated on 96-well microplates at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/ml (A549, NCI-H2170) and 4 × 10⁴ cells/ml (NCI-H1563). The cell viability was determined using the MTT assay as we described previously [30].

Isobolographic analysis of interactions

The percent inhibition of cell viability per dose of CDDP, SAHA or VPA administered alone and the dose-response relationship curves (DRRCs) for each investigated drug in A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170 cell lines measured by the MTT assay were fitted using log-probit linear regression analysis according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon [31]. Subsequently, from the respective linear equations the median inhibitory concentrations (IC50s) of CDDP, SAHA or VPA administered alone were calculated. Next, the test for parallelism of DRRCs for CDDP and SAHA or VPA based on the log-probit analysis was used, as described in detail in our previous studies [32, 33]. Isobolographic interactions between CDDP and SAHA or VPA were analyzed according to the methodology described by Grabovsky et al.
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and Tallarida [34, 35, 36] and Luszczki [32]. Based upon the IC50 values denoted experimentally for the drugs administered alone, median additive inhibitory concentrations of the mixture of CDDP with SAHA or VPA i.e., concentrations of the mixture, which theoretically should inhibit cell viability in 50% (IC50 add) were calculated from two equations of additivity presented by Tallarida [32, 33]. The evaluation of the experimentally-derived IC50 mix at the fixed ratio of 1:1 was based upon the concentration of the mixture inhibiting 50% of cell viability in investigated cell lines measured by the MTT assay. Finally, to determine the separate concentrations of CDDP and SAHA or VPA in the mixture, the IC50 mix values were multiplied by the respective proportions of drugs (denoted for additive mixture). Further details regarding these concepts have been published elsewhere [32, 35, 36].

Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry

To assess cell cycle distribution, lung cancer cell lines were seeded on 6-well plates (Nunc) at a density of 0.5 × 10^5/ml. Next day cell lines were treated with different concentrations of VPA, SAHA and CDDP alone or in combination (SAHA/CDDP and VPA/CDDP) for 48 hours and then fixed (for 48 h) in ice-cold 80% ethanol at -20°C. After fixation, the treated cells were stained with propidium iodide utilizing the PI/RNase Staining Buffer (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions as we described previously [30].

Analysis of apoptosis

Examined cell lines were seeded on 6-well plates (Nunc) at a density of 0.5 × 10^5/ml and then treated with VPA, SAHA and CDDP alone or in combination (SAHA/CDDP and VPA/CDDP) for 48 hours. The measurement of apoptosis was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions of PE Active Caspase-3 Apoptosis Kit (BD Pharmingen) as we described previously [30].
Table 1. Anti-proliferative effects of CDDP, SAHA and VPA administered singly in three lung cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563, NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>IC_{50} (μg/ml)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CFP</th>
<th>q/p</th>
<th>S.R.</th>
<th>f ratio S.R.</th>
<th>Parallelism*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>CDDP</td>
<td>1.184 ± 0.333</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.126 (q)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>0.560 ± 0.069</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.301 (p1)</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>2.265</td>
<td>1.495</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>485.6 ± 87.95</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.424 (p1)</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.523</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1563</td>
<td>CDDP</td>
<td>2.989 ± 0.577</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.237 (q)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1563</td>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>0.723 ± 0.169</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.626 (p1)</td>
<td>8.366</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1563</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>847.2 ± 203.0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.104 (p1)</td>
<td>2.489</td>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>1.835</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H2170</td>
<td>CDDP</td>
<td>0.350 ± 0.123</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.538 (q)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H2170</td>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>0.460 ± 0.052</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.891 (p1)</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>4.542</td>
<td>1.607</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H2170</td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>415.7 ± 51.87</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.218 (p2)</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>4.567</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as median inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50} values in μg/ml ± S.E.M.) of CDDP, SAHA and VPA administered singly with respect to their anti-proliferative effects in three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563, NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay. n-total number of items used at concentrations whose expected anti-proliferative effects ranged between 4 and 6 probits (16% and 84%); CFP (q and p) curve-fitting parameters; q/p-ratio of q and p values; S.R.-slope function ratio (S_{CDDP}/S_{SAHA}-S_{CDDP}/S_{VPA}); f ratio S.R.-factor for slope function ratio. Test for parallelism of two dose-response relationship curves (DRRCs) was performed according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon (1949). It this case, if the slope function ratio (S.R.) value is higher than the factor for slope function ratio (f ratio S.R.) value, the examined two DRRCs are not parallel to each other. Otherwise, the examined two DRRCs are parallel to one another (Litchfield and Wilcoxon 1949). NP-not parallel; P-parallel; *All detailed calculations required to test the parallelism of two DRRCs were presented in the Appendix to the paper by Luszczki and Czuczwar (2006).

Statistical analysis

The IC_{50} and IC_{50mix} values for CDDP, SAHA and VPA administered alone or in combination at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 were calculated by computer-assisted log-probit analysis according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon [32]. The experimentally-derived IC_{50mix} values for the mixture of CDDP with SAHA or VPA were statistically compared with their respective theoretical additive IC_{50add} values by the use of unpaired Student's t-test, according to Tallarida [37].

Results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software (one-way ANOVA; Tukey post-hoc testing). Statistical differences were considered relevant at P<0.05. Findings were presented as mean ± standard deviation of the mean (± SD).

Results

VPA, SAHA and CDDP decrease proliferation of the A549, NCI-H1563, NCI-H2170 lung cancer cell lines

The antiproliferative activity of VPA, SAHA and CDDP was assessed in a variety of lung cancer cell lines using the MTT assay. All cancer cells were exposed to either culture medium (control) or increasing concentrations of VPA: [A549 (16.619-1661.6 μg/ml; equivalent to 0.1-10 mM), NCI-H1563 (16.619-1994.28 μg/ml; 0.1-12 mM), NCI-H2170 (16.619-830.95; 0.1-5 mM), SAHA: A549, NCI-H1563 (0.02643-2.643 μg/ml; 0.1-10 μM), NCI-H2170 (0.02643-1.3215 μg/ml; 0.1-5 μM) and CDDP: A549, NCI-H2170 (0.01-5 μg/ml), NCI-H1563 (0.01-10 μg/ml) for 96 h. We have shown a decrease of proliferation of all cancer cell lines after VPA, SAHA, and CDDP treatment in the dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). IC_{50} values for all investigated cell lines were established and depicted in Table 1. NCI-H2170 was the most sensitive cell line both to VPA, SAHA, and CDDP treatment. NCI-H1563 was the least sensitive cell line to all drugs treatment (Tables 1-3).

In the next experiments we examined if the order of treatment will affect the cytotoxicity of a mixture of HDIs with CDDP. A549 cells were treated with combinations of various doses of VPA/CDDP and SAHA/CDDP in culture medium for 96 hours in three diverse orders: 1) - VPA (or SAHA) and CDDP were added to the cell cultures at the same time; 2) the cells were preincubated for 1 hour with CDDP, followed by addition of VPA (or SAHA); 3) or treated in opposite order-first incubated with VPA (or SAHA) for one hour, then with CDDP. Regardless of the order of compounds (HDIs, CDDP) added in the 1 hour interval to the cell cultures, we did not observe changes in the inhibition of cellular proliferation (Figure 1B).
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Table 2. Type I isobolographic analysis of interactions (for non-parallel DRRCs) between CDDP and SAHA or VPA at the fixed-ratio combination of 1:1 in two cancer cell lines (A549 and NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>IC(_{50\text{ mix}}) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>(n\text{ mix})</th>
<th>(\delta\text{IC}_{50\text{ add}}) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>(n\text{ add})</th>
<th>(\delta\text{IC}_{50\text{ add}}) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>(n\text{ add})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>CDDP + SAHA</td>
<td>0.529 ± 0.174</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.660 ± 0.197</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.085 ± 0.285</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>CDDP + VPA</td>
<td>213.1 ± 55.10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>223.5 ± 78.93</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>263.2 ± 84.36</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H2170</td>
<td>CDDP + SAHA</td>
<td>0.387 ± 0.061</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.190 ± 0.150</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.620 ± 0.136</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H2170</td>
<td>CDDP + VPA</td>
<td>223.3 ± 28.05</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>112.6 ± 54.85</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>303.2 ± 87.49</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as median inhibitory concentrations (IC\(_{50}\) values in µg/ml ± S.E.M.) for two-drug mixtures, determined either experimentally (IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\)) or theoretically calculated (IC\(_{50\text{ add}}\)) from the equations of additivity (Tallarida 2006, 2007), blocking proliferation in 50% of tested cells in two cancer cell lines (A549 and CR5928) measured in vitro by the MTT assay. \(n\) = total number of animals calculated for the additive mixture of the drugs examined (\(n\text{ mix} = n\text{ CDDP} + n\text{ SAHA} \times 4\) or \(n\text{ mix} = n\text{ CDDP} + n\text{ VPA} \times 4\); \(\delta\text{IC}_{50\text{ add}}\) value calculated from the equation for the lower line of additivity; \(\delta\text{IC}_{50\text{ add}}\) value calculated from the equation for the upper line of additivity. Statistical evaluation of data was performed with unpaired Student’s t-test according to Tallarida (2000). There was no statistical difference between the IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\) and IC\(_{50\text{ add}}\) values with unpaired Student’s t-test and thus, the analyzed interactions were additive in two cancer cell lines (A549 and NCI-H2170) as measured by the MTT assay in vitro.

Table 3. Type I isobolographic analysis of interactions (for parallel DRRCs) between CDDP and SAHA or VPA at the fixed-ratio combination of 1:1 in a cancer cell line (NCI-H1563) measured in vitro by the MTT assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>IC(_{50\text{ mix}}) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>(n\text{ mix})</th>
<th>IC(_{50\text{ add}}) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>(n\text{ add})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1563</td>
<td>CDDP + SAHA</td>
<td>1.768 ± 0.254</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.856 ± 0.373</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI-H1563</td>
<td>CDDP + VPA</td>
<td>455.1 ± 46.79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>425.1 ± 101.8</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as median inhibitory concentrations (IC\(_{50}\) values in µg/ml ± S.E.M.) for two-drug mixtures, determined either experimentally (IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\)) or theoretically calculated (IC\(_{50\text{ add}}\)) from the equations of additivity (Tallarida 2006, 2007), blocking proliferation in 50% of tested cells in a cancer cell line (NCI-H1563) measured in vitro by the MTT assay. \(n\) = total number of items used at those concentrations whose expected anti-proliferative effects ranged between 16% and 84% (i.e., 4 and 6 probits) for the experimental mixture; \(n\text{ add}\) = total number of animals calculated for the additive mixture of the drugs examined (\(n\text{ mix} = n\text{ CDDP} + n\text{ SAHA} \times 4\) or \(n\text{ mix} = n\text{ CDDP} + n\text{ VPA} \times 4\); Statistical evaluation of data was performed with unpaired Student’s t-test according to Tallarida (2000). There was no statistical difference between the IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\) and IC\(_{50\text{ add}}\) values with unpaired Student’s t-test and thus, the analyzed interactions were additive in a cancer cell line (NCI-H1563) as measured by the MTT assay in vitro.

Anti-proliferative action of SAHA administered singly and in combination with CDDP in the A549 cell line

CDDP administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects in the A549 cells. The equation of DRRC for CDDP (\(y = 1.4459 x + 4.8937; \text{Figure 2A}\)) allowed the determination of the IC\(_{50}\) value for CDDP, which was 1.184 ± 0.333 µg/ml (Table 1). Similarly, SAHA administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects on A549 cells. The equation of DRRC for SAHA (\(y = 2.9717 x + 5.7478; \text{Figure 2A}\)) allowed the calculation of its IC\(_{50}\) value that amounted to 0.560 ± 0.069 µg/ml (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP and SAHA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were non-parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2A). In this case, the combination of CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects in the A549 cells and the IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\) value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (\(y = 1.1037 x + 5.3049; \text{Figure 2A}\)) was 0.529 ± 0.174 µg/ml (Table 2).

Anti-proliferative action of VPA administered singly and combined with CDDP in the A549 cell line

VPA administered alone reduced the proliferation of A549 cells. The equation of DRRC for VPA (\(y = 2.245 x - 1.0308; \text{Figure 2D}\)) allowed the calculation of its IC\(_{50}\) value that amounted to 485.6 ± 87.95 µg/ml (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP and VPA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were non-parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2B). In this case, the combination of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects in the A549 cells and the IC\(_{50\text{ mix}}\) value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (\(y = 1.5727 x + 1.3377; \text{Figure 2D}\)) was 213.1 ± 55.10 µg/ml (Table 2).
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Anti-proliferative action of SAHA administered singly and combined with CDDP in the NCI-H1563 cell line

CDDP administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H1563 cells. The equation of DRRC for CDDP \( y = 1.945x + 4.0751 \) (Figure 2B), allowed the determination of the \( IC_{50} \) value for CDDP, which was \( 2.989 \pm 0.577 \mu g/ml \) (Table 1). Similarly, SAHA administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H1563 cells. The equation of DRRC for SAHA \( y = 1.5547x + 5.2194 \) (Figure 2B) allowed the calculation of its \( IC_{50} \) value that amounted to \( 0.723 \pm 0.169 \mu g/ml \) (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP

Figure 2. A-F. Log-probit dose-response relationship curves (DRRCs) for CDDP, SAHA and VPA administered alone, and in combinations at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 (in red), illustrating the anti-proliferative effects of the drugs in three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay (A-F). Doses of CDDP, SAHA and VPA administered separately and the mixture of the drugs at the fixed-ratio combination of 1:1 (in red) were transformed into logarithms, whereas the anti-proliferative effects produced by the drugs in three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay were transformed into probits according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon (1949). Linear regression equations of DRRCs are presented on the graph; where \( y \) is the probit of response, and \( x \) is the logarithm (to the base 10) of a drug dose, \( R^2 \)-coefficient of determination. Test for parallelism revealed that the experimentally determined DRRCs for CDDP, SAHA and VPA (administered alone) in two cancer cell lines (A549 and NCI-H2170) are not parallel to one another. Only the DRRCs for CDDP, SAHA and VPA (administered alone) in the cancer cell line (NCI-H1563) are parallel to each other (for more details see Table 1).

2836 Am J Cancer Res 2016;6(12):2831-2845
Additive effect of combined treatment of cisplatin and HDIs in lung cancer cell lines

and SAHA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2B). In this case, the combination of CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H1563 cells and the IC_{50, mix} value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (y = 2.8284 x + 4.3; Figure 2B) was 1.768 ± 0.254 μg/ml (Table 3).

Anti-proliferative action of VPA administered singly and combined with CDDP in the NCI-H1563 cell line

The anti-proliferative effect in the NCI-H1563 cells by VPA administered alone was observed. The equation of DRRC for VPA (y = 1.3314 x + 1.1018; Figure 2E) allowed the calculation of its IC_{50} value that amounted to 847.2 ± 203.0 μg/ml (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP and VPA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2E). In this case, the combination of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects in the NCI-H1563 cell line and the IC_{50, mix} value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (y = 3.5367 x - 4.4009; Figure 2E) was 455.1 ± 46.79 μg/ml (Table 3).

Anti-proliferative action of SAHA administered singly and combined with CDDP in the NCI-H2170 cell line

CDDP administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H2170 cells. The equation of DRRC for CDDP (y = 1.0346 x + 5.4716; Figure 2C) allowed the determination of the IC_{50} value for CDDP, which was 0.350 ± 0.123 μg/ml (Table 1). Similarly, SAHA administered alone produced anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H2170 cells. The equation of DRRC for SAHA (y = 3.233 x + 6.0901; Figure 2C) allowed the calculation of its IC_{50} value that amounted to 0.460 ± 0.052 μg/ml (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP and SAHA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were non-parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2C). In this case, the combination of CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects on NCI-H2170 cells and the IC_{50, mix} value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (y = 2.301 x + 5.9495; Figure 2C) was 0.387 ± 0.061 μg/ml (Table 2).

Anti-proliferative action of VPA administered singly and combined with CDDP to the NCI-H2170 cell line

The anti-proliferative effect in the NCI-H2170 cells by VPA administered alone was observed. The equation of DRRC for VPA (y = 3.2583 x - 3.5326; Figure 2F) allowed the calculation of its IC_{50} value that amounted to 415.7 ± 51.87 μg/ml (Table 1). The test for parallelism of DRRCs between CDDP and VPA revealed that the DRRCs of both compounds were non-parallel to each other (Table 1; Figure 2F). In this case, the combination of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 produced the anti-proliferative effects in the NCI-H2170 cell line and the IC_{50, mix} value calculated from the DRRC for the mixture of both compounds (y = 2.8814 x - 1.7623; Figure 2F) was 222.3 ± 28.05 μg/ml (Table 2).

Isobolographic analysis of interactions between CDDP and SAHA in the A549 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for non-parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction in the A549 cells (Figure 3A). The experimentally derived IC_{50, mix} value for this fixed-ratio combination was 0.529 ± 0.174 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC_{50, add} values were 0.660 ± 0.197 μg/ml (for the lower IC_{50, add}^1) and 1.085 ± 0.285 μg/ml (for the upper IC_{50, add}^2) (Table 2). Thus, the IC_{50, mix} value did not significantly differ from the IC_{50, add} values (Table 2; Figure 3A).

Isobolographic analysis of interaction between CDDP and VPA in the A549 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for non-parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction on A549 cells (Figure 3D). The experimentally derived IC_{50, mix} value for this fixed-ratio combination was 213.1 ± 55.10 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC_{50, add} values were 223.5 ± 78.93 μg/ml (for the lower IC_{50, add}^1) and 263.2 ± 84.36 μg/ml (for the upper IC_{50, add}^2) (Table 2). Thus, the IC_{50, mix} value did not significantly differ from the IC_{50, add} values (Table 2; Figure 3D).

Isobolographic analysis of interaction between CDDP and SAHA in the NCI-H1563 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of
Additive effect of combined treatment of cisplatin and HDIs in lung cancer cell lines

Figure 3. A-F. Isobolograms showing additive interactions between CDDP, SAHA and VPA with respect to their anti-proliferative effects on three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay. The median inhibitory concentrations (IC50) for CDDP, SAHA and VPA are plotted graphically on the X- and Y-axes, respectively. The solid lines on the X and Y axes represent the S.E.M. for the IC50 values for the studied drugs administered alone. The lower and upper isoboles of additivity represent the curves connecting the IC50 values for CDDP and SAHA or VPA administered alone. The dotted line starting from the point (0, 0) corresponds to the fixed-ratio of 1:1 for the combination of CDDP with SAHA or VPA. The diagonal dashed line connects the IC50 for CDDP and SAHA or VPA on the X- and Y-axes. The points A' and A" (A, C, D, F) depict the theoretically calculated IC50 add values for both, lower and upper isoboles of additivity. The point M represents the experimentally-derived IC50 total dose of the mixture expressed as proportions of CDDP and SAHA or VPA that produced a 50% anti-proliferative effect (50% isobole) in three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170) measured in vitro by the MTT assay. On the graph, the S.E.M. values are presented as horizontal and vertical error bars for every IC50 value. The experimentally-derived IC50 mix value is placed close to the points: A" (A, D), A (B, E) and within the area bounded by two lines of additivity (C, F), indicating additive interaction between CDDP and SAHA or VPA in three cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170) measured in vivo by the MTT assay.
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CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction on NCI-H1563 cells (Figure 3B). The experimentally derived IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value for this fixed-ratio combination was 1.768 ± 0.254 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ value was 1.856 ± 0.373 μg/ml (Table 3). Thus, the IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value did not significantly differ from the IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ value (Table 3; Figure 3B).

Isobolographic analysis of interaction between CDDP and VPA in the NCI-H1563 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction in the NCI-H1563 cell line (Figure 3E). The experimentally derived IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value for this fixed-ratio combination was 455.1 ± 46.79 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ value was 425.1 ± 101.8 μg/ml (Table 3). Thus, the IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value did not significantly differ from the IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ value (Table 3; Figure 3E).

Isobolographic analysis of interaction between CDDP and SAHA in the NCI-H2170 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for non-parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of CDDP with SAHA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction NCI-H2170 cells (Figure 3C). The experimentally derived IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value for this fixed-ratio combination was 0.387 ± 0.061 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ values were 0.190 ± 0.150 μg/ml (for the lower IC$_{50 \text{add}}$) and 0.620 ± 0.136 μg/ml (for the upper IC$_{50 \text{add}}$; Table 2). Thus, the IC$_{50 \text{mix}}$ value did not significantly differ from the IC$_{50 \text{add}}$ values (Table 2; Figure 3C).

Isobolographic analysis of interaction between CDDP and VPA in the NCI-H2170 cells

The isobolographic analysis of interaction for non-parallel DRRCs revealed that the mixture of CDDP with VPA at the fixed-ratio of 1:1 exerted additive interaction in the NCI-H2170 cell

Figure 4. A,B. Effects of HDIs and CDDP on cell cycle arrest in A549 cells. Lung cancer cell line was incubated for 48 h with HDIs and CDDP alone or in combination in concentration 1.4 (70% IC50) and 2.4 (120% IC50) respectively. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry and results are expressed as mean ± SD of three separate experiments (n = 6 per concentration, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 versus the control, one-way ANOVA test).
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The experimentally derived IC$_{50}$ value for this fixed-ratio combination was 222.3 ± 28.05 μg/ml, whereas the additively calculated IC$_{50\text{add}}$ values were 112.6 ± 54.85 μg/ml (for the lower IC$_{50\text{add}}$) and 303.2 ± 87.49 μg/ml (for the upper IC$_{50\text{add}}$; Table 2). Thus, the IC$_{50\text{mix}}$ value did not significantly differ from the IC$_{50\text{add}}$ values (Table 2; Figure 3F).

**Effects of HDIs/CDDP on cell cycle arrest**

In order to estimate changes in the cell cycle progression, the most sensitive lung cancer cell line A549 was incubated with SAHA, VPA and CDDP alone or in combination. According to data obtained from isobolographic analysis we choose the drug combination ratio 1.4 (70% IC50) and 2.4 (120% IC50), alone or in combinations after 48 h exposure. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry and results are expressed as mean ± SD of three separate experiments (n = 6 per concentration, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 versus the control, one-way ANOVA test).

The exposure of analyzed lung cancer cell line A549 to SAHA and VPA in combination with CDDP after 48 h caused dose-dependent activation of caspase-3. VPA treatment alone at concentrations 1.4 (70% IC50) induced slight increase of apoptosis, whereas other concentrations of VPA and SAHA caused no statistical changes in the number of active caspase-3-positive cells relative to control. However, when the A549 cells were incubated only with CDDP, cellular apoptosis was induced at higher concentrations. Finally, as shown at Figures 5A, 5B, 6A-F, 7A-F and Table 4, the exposure of the A549 cells to HDIs and CDDP caused evident increase in the degree of apoptosis at all analyzed concentrations.

**Discussion**

Routine methods of treatment of lung cancer include chemotherapy with taxanes, gemcitabine, irinotecan, pemetrexed, as well as cisplatin and its analogs. Efficiency of CDDP is limited due to its toxicity to normal cells, and consequently a number of adverse effects, low therapeutic index, as well as development of CDDP resistance [6, 7, 38].

Thereby, new active compounds which selectively and effectively eliminate lung cancer cells, and additionally could enhance anticancer properties of currently used chemotherapeutics without destroying healthy tissue, are of great importance. HDIs, to some extent, can fulfill these criteria. VPA is generally well toler-
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In the present study, we show that both VPA and SAHA enhance the cytotoxicity of CDDP in A549, NCI-1563 and NCI-H2170 lung cancer cell lines. To assess precise type of drug-drug interaction we applied isobolographic analysis, which is a valuable method used to analyze and characterize the type of interaction effects in combined treatment \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} [45], and allows to evaluate whether the two active agents could make an effective combination, which improve the therapy, regardless of the mechanism of drug doses action. Synergistic interaction analyzed on the basis of this protocol permits the use of lower doses of components of the mixture and thus allows to reduce adverse effects [45-47].

Based on the isobolographic analysis we have shown the addition in the direction of synergism between CDDP and VPA or CDDP and SAHA treatments to A549, NCI-H1563 and NCI-H2170 lung cancer cells. Incomplete synergism of HDIs and CDDP may result from possible masking molecular mechanisms of both active compounds. It has been demonstrated that HDIs can induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, by modulating genes expression through precise epigenetic mechanisms [48, 49]. CDDP intercalating with DNA, thereby unspecific inhibiting expression of several genes, exert similar mechanism of action on cellular level, resulting in cancer cells death, which could affect drug-drug interactions observed in our studies. Almost identical observations were presented in our published investigation on breast cancer cell lines [30], and larynx cancer cells [accepted manuscript, Journal of Cancer], showing that enhancement of the CDDP-mediated cytotoxicity by HDIs seems to be general phenomenon, which is not cell type specific and occurs regardless of the histologic origin of

Figure 6. A-F. Detection of apoptotic cells. Induction of apoptosis by SAHA or VPA and CDDP alone or in combinations. A549 cell line was cultivated for 48 hours with dose 1.4 = 70% IC50 of active compounds and their mixtures and analyzed by flow cytometry. Symbol R1 indicates the number of all cells, R2-cells with active caspase-3. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Figure 6. A-F. Detection of apoptotic cells. Induction of apoptosis by SAHA or VPA and CDDP alone or in combinations. A549 cell line was cultivated for 48 hours with dose 1.4 = 70% IC50 of active compounds and their mixtures and analyzed by flow cytometry. Symbol R1 indicates the number of all cells, R2-cells with active caspase-3. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry.
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Figure 7. A-F. Detection of apoptotic cells. Induction of apoptosis by SAHA or VPA and CDDP alone or in combinations. A549 cell line was cultivated for 48 hours with dose 2.4 = 120% IC50) of active compounds and their mixtures and analyzed by flow cytometry. Symbol R1 indicates the number of all cells, R2-cells with active caspase-3. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry.

cancer cells. Moreover, combined treatment does not affect much normal cells [accepted manuscript, Journal of Cancer].

Similar to our results, it has been reported that HDIs tested in combination with CDDP on numerous cancer cells, including malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) and lung adenocarcinoma (ADCA) cells, showed much better antitumor effect as compared with results obtained when the drugs were used alone [29]. Combined treatment of trichostatin A (TSA), an HDAC inhibitor and CDDP produced synergistic effect of inhibition on A549 cells [50]. However, these drug-drug interactions were estimated by simple type of analysis, and not by extensive isobolographic method, used in our research, and very rare in other studies. Especially, combined treatment of VPA and CDDP could be regarded of great interests for the cancer patients. VPA, already used for a long time for the treatment of epilepsy, and the concentrations achieved in patients plasma (1-1.3 mM) during clinical trials [39] are also effective against cancer cells in vitro, as presented in our present and earlier studies [30]. Additive value of such (CDDP/VPA) combined treatment, resulting in their synergistic action, and possible reduction of CDDP doses for patient treatment, could prompt this drug combination into the clinical trials.

No clinical trials of SAHA/CDDP or VPA/CDDP combined treatment in lung cancer have been reported to date [51]. Until now available results from clinical trials shows remarkable beneficiary effect of SAHA and paclitaxel and carboplatin treatment in patients with advanced-stage NSCLC, resulting in 34% response rate with carboplatin + paclitaxel + vorinostat (SAHA) comparing to 12.5% with carboplatin + paclitaxel + placebo, and a tendency to increase in median progression-free survival and overall survival in the vorinostat (SAHA) treated group [52]. In contrast, SAHA applied together with gefitinib displayed no clinical benefit and did not improve progression free survival for NSLC-bearing patients [53].

Thereby, combined treatment of HDI/CDDP could have some advances in comparison to other types of combined chemotherapy, especially that HDI/CDDP applied together affects NSLC cells proliferation regardless of the histologic type-adenocarcinoma (A549) or squamous cell carcinoma (NCI-H2170) at similar
level, and that the anti-cancer effect was evident in more sensitive (A549, NCI-H2170) and less sensitive (NCI-H1563) lung cancer cell lines, as demonstrated in our present study.

In conclusion, these results show that the combined treatment of CDDP and HDIs can be used to more successfully eliminate lung carcinoma cells, indicating a promising new drugs combinations for lung cancer treatment.
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Table 4. Detection of apoptotic cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A549</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>% Gated</th>
<th>% Total</th>
<th>X Mean</th>
<th>X Geo Mean</th>
<th>Y Mean</th>
<th>Y Geo Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ctr</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9237</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>92.37</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>438.56</td>
<td>417.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>154.21</td>
<td>120.98</td>
<td>618.82</td>
<td>588.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDDP 70% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>5951</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>59.51</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>463.55</td>
<td>443.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>156.55</td>
<td>64.74</td>
<td>418.65</td>
<td>404.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA 70% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9626</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>96.26</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>469.60</td>
<td>428.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>141.39</td>
<td>101.77</td>
<td>453.67</td>
<td>409.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA+CDDP 70% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9553</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>591.59</td>
<td>557.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>155.83</td>
<td>127.96</td>
<td>532.78</td>
<td>502.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA 70% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>8860</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>88.60</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>311.01</td>
<td>278.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>64.58</td>
<td>336.67</td>
<td>300.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA+CDDP 70% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>7551</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>75.51</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>531.33</td>
<td>504.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>163.22</td>
<td>120.11</td>
<td>492.93</td>
<td>471.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDDP 120% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9723</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>97.23</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>557.91</td>
<td>537.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>100.21</td>
<td>87.73</td>
<td>445.07</td>
<td>420.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA 120% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9614</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>96.14</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>399.43</td>
<td>374.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>191.94</td>
<td>153.40</td>
<td>363.56</td>
<td>334.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA+CDDP 120% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9754</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>97.54</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>440.97</td>
<td>421.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>2332</td>
<td>23.91</td>
<td>23.32</td>
<td>66.30</td>
<td>59.62</td>
<td>461.03</td>
<td>442.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA 120% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9585</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>95.85</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>484.04</td>
<td>458.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>146.17</td>
<td>117.48</td>
<td>464.23</td>
<td>427.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA+CDDP 120% IC50</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>9678</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>433.49</td>
<td>413.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>56.64</td>
<td>49.96</td>
<td>391.34</td>
<td>374.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A549 lung cancer cell line was incubated with active agents alone or in mixture of them with doses 1.4 = 70% IC50 and 2.4 = 120% IC50 for 48 h. Data was analyzed by flow cytometry.
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