Original Article

Neural correlates of chemotherapy-induced emotion regulation impairment in breast cancer patients
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Abstract: To investigate the chemotherapy-induced emotion regulation impairment and its neural correlates in breast cancer (BC) patients by event-related potentials (ERP), seventeen BC patients were investigated on emotion regulation paradigms while undergoing the recording of an event-related potential (ERP) both before and after chemotherapy. The performance of behavioral and ERP was compared for the data collected before and after chemotherapy (BCB and BCA, respectively). The correlation of the difference between the peak and the latency of each component before and after chemotherapy were compared with the difference in behavior (RT and AR). BC patients showed a lower accuracy rate in both explicit and implicit emotion identification in BCA compared to that in BCB. Further, both the N1 and P2 components were significantly delayed. The peak values of the N1 and P2 in BCA were significantly higher than those in BCB, whereas the peak value of the N2 in BCA was significantly lower than that in BCB. There was a positive correlation between the difference in latency at the CZ (r=0.88), F3 (r=0.97) and FZ (r=0.85) points in the N1 component and the RT. The difference in latency at the FCZ point in the N2 (r=0.88) component is positively correlated with the AR. The difference in peak value at the CPZ (r=0.89) point in the N1 component is positively correlated with the RT. Both the implicit and explicit emotional processing was compromised in BC patients following chemotherapy. These emotional processing deficits may be related to the changes of the N1, N2 and P2 of the ERP.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the second most common cancer [1]. Most patients diagnosed with breast cancer are treated with chemotherapy. With the development of new chemotherapy regimens and increased odds of survival, the side effects of chemotherapy are reported frequently [2]. In addition to nausea, vomiting, bone marrow suppression and other adverse physical reactions, chemotherapy can also lead to profound psychological side effects. The central nervous system (CNS) toxicity of chemotherapeutic agents affects cognition, emotion and directly impacts the quality of life [3]. Although the blood-brain barrier (BBB) provides some protection for the CNS, studies have accumulated to show that many drugs, such as antimetabolites (e.g., methotrexate or fluorouracil) and platinum-based agents, could cross the BBB and cause damage to the CNS [4]. Chemotherapy-induced cognitive impairment may affect memory, learning, attention, reasoning, and other executive functions and persist even after discontinuation of chemotherapy [5, 6].

Emotion is critical to mental health, and emotional distress has been called the “sixth vital sign” in clinical practice [7]. Previous studies
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have demonstrated cognitive impairment after chemotherapy, particularly in memory and attention, in breast cancer (BC) patients. Cancer patients also experience negative emotions, such as anxiety and depression [8]. Emotional distress may reduce treatment compliance [9, 10] or even increase the risk of disease progression [11, 12]. Emotion perception and regulation can transpire both explicitly and implicitly. According to a dual-process framework, explicit emotion regulation is defined as a process that requires conscious effort and awareness. Implicit emotion regulation is evoked automatically by the stimulus without one’s awareness [13]. However, it is less clear whether or how chemotherapy may influence implicit and explicit emotional processing in BC patients. Here, we addressed the issue in an electrophysiological study of BC patients.

Event-related potentials (ERP) provide a noninvasive measure of cognitive and emotional functions. Previous studies showed that chemotherapy disrupted attention and information processing along with altered ERP in BC patients [14, 15]. Studies also suggested that emotional distress, compared with neutral stimuli, elicited greater early posterior negativity (EPN) [16-19], P2 [20, 21], late posterior negativity (LPN) [17, 19], and/or late positive potential (LPP) [22, 23]. Here, we examined explicit and implicit emotional processing in BC patients before and after chemotherapy and the changes in these ERP in association with emotional processing deficits.

Materials and methods

Participants and assessments

Seventeen BC patients were recruited from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, and were hospitalized from January 2017 to March 2018 in the Department of Oncology. All were diagnosed with stage II through IV breast cancer and treated with standard chemotherapy regimens. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Second Hospital of Anhui Medical University, and all the subjects provided informed consents in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and the research protocol.

BC patients were recruited according to the following criteria: (1) completion of at least 6 cycles of chemotherapy; (2) ability to complete simple calculations; (3) no use of psychotropic medications; (4) no history of neurologic or psychiatric illnesses; (5) no primary or secondary brain tumor; (6) no abuse of alcohol or drugs; (7) MoCA score ≥26 (see below); (8) HAMD and HAMA scores <7 (see below).

The general cognitive function was evaluated with the Beijing version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). The MoCA assessed visuospatial/executive, naming, memory, attention, language, abstraction, delayed recall and orientation [24]. Patients were also evaluated with the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD) and the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAMA), as well as the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Chinese Revised Version (ERQ-CRV). The 14-item ERQ-CRV contains two conceptually distinct subscales: the cognitive reappraisal (CR) and the expression inhibition (EI). The item responses were structured by a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 7 (absolutely never to absolutely always). The results of the clinical assessments are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of the clinical assessments across the time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HAMA</th>
<th>HAMD</th>
<th>MoCA</th>
<th>ERS*</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>EI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCB</td>
<td>4.3±0.9</td>
<td>4.9±1.0</td>
<td>27.4±1.0</td>
<td>34.7±7.7</td>
<td>29.4±8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCA</td>
<td>4.1±1.2</td>
<td>4.5±0.9</td>
<td>27.0±1.0</td>
<td>38.8±4.4</td>
<td>25.4±5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BCB: breast cancer patients before chemotherapy; BCA: breast cancer patients after chemotherapy; ERS: emotion regulation strategies; CR: cognitive reappraisal; EI: expression inhibition. *Significant strategy main effect and the strategy by time point interaction effect in ANOVA (see text).

The emotion detection paradigm was illustrated as follows: the experiment ran in two consecutive blocks of implicit emotion detection, where patients were instructed to judge the correctness of an arithmetic equation as quickly and accurately as possible; it also ran in two blocks of explicit emotion detection, where patients were to determine the valence of a picture (negative or neutral) as quickly and accurately as possible. The order of the arithmetic and valence blocks was counterbalanced across subjects. In both blocks, the stimuli consisted of one simple addition or subtraction equation superimposed on a background pic-
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The electroencephalography (EEG) was recorded from 64 Ag-AgCl electrodes placed on the scalp according to the extended International 10/20 system (Waveguard64 cap, Cephalon A/S). The vertical electrooculograms (EOG) were recorded both supraorbitally and infraorbitally from the left eye. The horizontal EOG was recorded as the left versus right orbital rim. The EEG activity was recorded using a left mastoid reference electrode and re-referenced off-line to the mean of the bilateral mastoid electrodes. All electrode impedances were maintained below 5 kV. The EEG and EOG activity was amplified with a DC 0.01–100 Hz band-pass filter and continuously sampled at 512 Hz (64 channel high-speed amplifier, Advanced Neuro Technology, Enschede, Netherlands). The trials with the remaining EOG artifacts (mean EOG voltage exceeding ±100 mV), the amplifier clipping artifacts, or the peak-to-peak deflections exceeding ±100 mV were excluded from the averaging. The ERP waveforms were time-locked to the onset of the face stimuli, and the averaging epoch was 1200 ms, including a 200 ms pre-stimulus baseline.

Measures and statistical analyses

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 was used for all statistical analyses.

The differences in questionnaire scores obtained before and after chemotherapy were analyzed using paired-samples t-tests. For reaction time (RT) and accuracy rate (AR) of behavioral data, repeated-measures ANOVAs with-subject effects of time point (BCB, BCA), task (implicit ER, explicit ER) and valence of emotion (negative, neutral). For the peak amplitude and latency of each components in ERP data, repeated-measures ANOVAs with-subject effects of time point (BCB, BCA), task (implicit ER, explicit ER) and valence of emotion (negative, neutral). The correlation of the difference between the peak and latency of each component before and after chemotherapy was compared with the difference in behavior (RT and AR) by the Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Results

Clinical assessments

The results of the clinical assessments are presented in Table 1. None of the patients had significant anxiety or depression, and there was no statistically significant difference in the HAMA or HAMD scores between BCB and BCA. All patients enrolled in the study had no significant cognitive dysfunction, all had a MoCA score ≥26, and there was no significant difference between BCB and BCA.

In the assessment of emotion regulation strategy, the repeated-measures analysis of vari-
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The ERP of the implicit and explicit emotion regulation of BCA and BCB and for the negative and neutral emotion trials are shown in Figure 1.

As shown in Tables 4 and 5, the multivariate repeated-measures ANOVA was computed on the N1, P2, N2 and P3 spatial factor scores using the time points, task and emotion as within-subject factors. The latency of the N1 and P2 components of two time points were significantly different; the N1 and P2 latencies were significantly longer in BCA relative to BCB (F(1,16)=4.568, P<0.05 and F(1,16)=12.528, P<0.01, respectively). In addition, the N1, P2 and N2 components’ emotional main effect was significant (F(1,16)=10.524, P<0.01, F(1,16)=12.952, P<0.05 and F(1,16)=4.425, P<0.05, respectively). The three components showed more prolonged latencies in the neutral emotional pictures than in the negative emotional pictures. None of the above components have other main effects and interaction effects.

In the peak item, the peak values of the N1 and P2 components in the BCA patients’ time points were significantly higher than those in BCB (F(1,16)=6.660, P<0.05 and F(1,16)=6.154, P<0.05). In the N1 component, the main effect of the task is significant (F(1,16)=7.138, P<0.05), and the implicit ER task induced a higher N1 peak. In the P2 component, the emotional main effect is significant (F(1,16)=8.878, P<0.01), and the neutral emotional pictures induced a greater P2 peak. However, in the N2 component, the peak value of the BCB was significantly higher than that of BCA, and there was a statistically significant difference (F(1,16)=5.614, P<0.05). In the N2 components, the main effect of the emotion was sig-
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Table 4. Statistics of the Peak Amplitude of the N1, P2, N2, and P3: within-subject effects (df=1, 16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERP</th>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>Time point × Task</th>
<th>Time point × Emotion</th>
<th>Task × Emotion</th>
<th>Time point × Task × Emotion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>F=6.660</td>
<td>F=7.138</td>
<td>F=2.657</td>
<td>F=0.799</td>
<td>F=0.526</td>
<td>F=0.288</td>
<td>F=0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.020*</td>
<td>P=0.017*</td>
<td>P=0.123</td>
<td>P=0.358</td>
<td>P=0.479</td>
<td>P=0.599</td>
<td>P=0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>F=5.154</td>
<td>F=0.001</td>
<td>F=8.878</td>
<td>F=1.296</td>
<td>F=0.060</td>
<td>F=0.314</td>
<td>F=0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.025*</td>
<td>P=0.982</td>
<td>P=0.009**</td>
<td>P=0.272</td>
<td>P=0.810</td>
<td>P=0.583</td>
<td>P=0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>F=5.614</td>
<td>F=3.829</td>
<td>F=25.971</td>
<td>F=0.022</td>
<td>F=0.898</td>
<td>F=1.319</td>
<td>F=0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.031*</td>
<td>P=0.068</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>P=0.883</td>
<td>P=0.337</td>
<td>P=0.268</td>
<td>P=0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>F=1.701</td>
<td>F=1.071</td>
<td>F=1.033</td>
<td>F=1.981</td>
<td>F=0.604</td>
<td>F=0.200</td>
<td>F=0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.201</td>
<td>P=0.309</td>
<td>P=0.317</td>
<td>P=0.169</td>
<td>P=0.443</td>
<td>P=0.658</td>
<td>P=0.868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01; ***: P<0.001.

Table 5. Statistics of the Peak Latency of the N1, P2, N2, and P3: within-subject effects (df=1, 16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERP</th>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>Time point × Task</th>
<th>Time point × Emotion</th>
<th>Task × Emotion</th>
<th>Time point × Task × Emotion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>F=4.658</td>
<td>F=0.880</td>
<td>F=10.524</td>
<td>F=0.576</td>
<td>F=0.156</td>
<td>F=0.062</td>
<td>F=0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.046*</td>
<td>P=0.362</td>
<td>P=0.005**</td>
<td>P=0.548</td>
<td>P=0.069</td>
<td>P=0.806</td>
<td>P=0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>F=12.518</td>
<td>F=2.037</td>
<td>F=12.952</td>
<td>F=0.003</td>
<td>F=6.206</td>
<td>F=0.277</td>
<td>F=1.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.003**</td>
<td>P=0.173</td>
<td>P=0.002**</td>
<td>P=0.958</td>
<td>P=0.024</td>
<td>P=0.606</td>
<td>P=0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>F=3.481</td>
<td>F=1.326</td>
<td>F=4.425</td>
<td>F=0.011</td>
<td>F=3.326</td>
<td>F=0.612</td>
<td>F=1.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.081</td>
<td>P=0.267</td>
<td>P=0.042*</td>
<td>P=0.918</td>
<td>P=0.097</td>
<td>P=0.446</td>
<td>P=0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>F=1.418</td>
<td>F=0.930</td>
<td>F=1.808</td>
<td>F=1.217</td>
<td>F=0.688</td>
<td>F=0.231</td>
<td>F=0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.251</td>
<td>P=0.349</td>
<td>P=0.197</td>
<td>P=0.286</td>
<td>P=0.426</td>
<td>P=0.637</td>
<td>P=0.877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01.

Figure 2. Correlation between the difference in latency at the CZ (r=0.88), F3 (r=0.97) and FZ (r=0.85) points in the N1 component and the RT.

There was a positive correlation between the difference in latency at the CZ (r=0.88), F3 (r=0.97) and FZ (r=0.85) points in the N1 component and the RT, as shown in Figure 2.

Correlation of the difference between the peak and latency of each component and the difference in behavior (RT and AR)

There was a positive correlation between the difference in latency at the CZ (r=0.88), F3 (r=0.97) and FZ (r=0.85) points in the N1 component and the RT, as shown in Figure 2.

The difference in latency at the FCZ point in the N2 component is positively correlated with the AR (r=0.88), as shown in Figure 3.

The difference in peak value at the CPZ point in the N1 component is positively correlated with the RT (r=0.89), as shown in Figure 4.

significant (F(1,16)=25.971, P<0.001); it showed a higher peak in the negative emotion than in the neutral emotion. In the P3 component, BCP had no differences between the time points before and after chemotherapy, but the main emotional effect was significant (F(1,16)=6.712, P<0.05), and the neutral emotional picture induced a greater P3 amplitude. None of the above components have other main effects and interaction effects.
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Discussion

The important role of emotion regulation and expression in adaptation to breast cancer is now widely recognized, but it is less clear in chemotherapy-induced emotion impairment in BC patients. The present results show that BC patients showed a lower accuracy rate in both explicit and implicit emotion identification after chemotherapy treatment. The ERP study indicated that the latency of the N1 and P2 components showed a significant delay in BCA, and the peak amplitudes of the N1 and P2 were significantly higher, whereas the peak amplitude of the N2 was lower in BCA. There was a positive correlation between the difference in latency at the CZ (r=0.88), F3 (r=0.97), and FZ (r=0.85) points in the N1 component and the RT. The difference in latency at the FCZ point in the N2 component was positively correlated with the AR (r=0.88). The difference in the peak value at the CPZ point in the N1 component is positively correlated with the RT (r=0.89).

It is important to highlight that there are reliable and valid measures widely used to assess emotion regulation and emotional expression in the larger field of psychology and medicine that have seldom been used in oncology studies. In this study, we use the ERQ-CRV scale to assess the emotion regulation strategy. Reappraisal strategies are antecedent-focused strategies, while suppression strategies are response-focused strategies.

The reappraisal strategy was a more effective emotion adjustment strategy [27]. The results showed that BC patients were more likely to use CR than EI both before and after chemotherapy.

The behavioral results showed that BCA had a poor performance in the response time and the correct rate tasks. Previous studies have shown that BC patients cannot sustain attention, have poor executive functions and have other cognitive disorders [15, 28, 29] after chemotherapy. In the explicit emotion regulation task, the correct rate of emotion recognition in BCA was significantly lower than that in BCB; this is consistent with previous studies [30]. Moyal et al. found that the effective recognition of emotions is essential for successful reappraisal. Reappraisal is a validated way of emotion regulation [31], and BCA may have poor recognition of mood due to impairment of emotion recognition. BC patients who received chemotherapy respond slowly to event stimuli, and this may affect their performance on emotional regulation.

The EPR results indicated that there was a significant delay in the latency of the N1 and P2 components in BCA. The N1 component is a sign of early visual selective attention [32]. The delay in latency of the N1 component may indicate that BCA had a significant delay in visual attention to emotion. The P2 component is thought to be involved in the processing of stimulus features [33, 34]. The significant delay

Figure 3. Correlation between the difference of latency of the N2 component before and after chemotherapy and the AR.

Figure 4. Correlation between the difference of the peak of the N1 component before and after chemotherapy and the RT.
in the P2 component may indicate a delay in the perception of emotions in BCA. Due to the limited resources, the delay in the processing of the task in the N1 and P2 components of BCA may lead to interference with the subsequent task control process [35, 36]. In the N1 and P2 components, the emotional main effect is significant. The negative emotion pictures elicit a faster response than that of the neutral emotion pictures on both implicit and explicit emotion regulation. This is in line with the previous findings [37] that people respond faster to negative stimuli in response to environmental threats and adaptation to their existence. It may be because the response time reflects the duration of multiple cognitive processes, where the ERP that are separated from the EEG provide the strength and duration of the individual process [14].

The peaks of the N1 and P2 components in BCA were higher than those in BCB. The BCA will invest more resources into the emotional visual attention and perception, which may improve the implicit and explicit emotional regulation. The main effect of the N1 component task is significant. It is speculated that the implicit ERP consumes more cognitive resources to early visual selective attention. This is similar to the analysis of behavioral results, where BC patients need to invest more cognitive resources in implicit ER tasks. Previous studies have found that negative stimulation induces a greater P2 amplitude [20, 37], but the peak of the P2 induced by neutral emotion is higher than that induced by negative emotion in the present result. It may be because BC patients need to devote more resources to perceive and identify the neutral stimuli than the negative stimuli. The N2 component in BCB had a greater peak than that in BCA. The N2 amplitude is considered to be a direct indicator of the allocation of attention in conflict monitoring, and the latency represents the speed of the perceived conflict [38, 39]. Therefore, the results suggest that BCB patients will invest more resources in the reaction of conflict monitoring to effectively overcome the emotional dimension of the processing bias. The results also show the emotional main effect is significant. The negative stimulation will induce a greater N2 amplitude, and there is a positive correlation between the difference of the ERP component before and after chemotherapy and between the differences in behavior (RT and AR).

The present study has also a limitation which involved a relatively small sample. Thus, further studies should include more patients to confirm the present findings.

In summary, in the present study, we have identified that there is implicit and explicit emotion impairment in BCA patients. They may be related to the changes in the N1, N2 and P2 in the ERP of BC patients who have undergone the chemotherapy.
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