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Metformin reverses PARP inhibitors-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition and PD-L1 upregulation in triple-negative breast cancer
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Abstract: Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors have emerged as promising targeted therapies for BRCA-mutated cancers by blocking repair of DNA double-strand breaks. However, resistance to PARP inhibitors (PARPi) has been described in some patients lowering the overall response rates. To investigate the underlying mechanisms of PARPi resistance, we developed the adaptive resistant clones in triple-negative breast cancer cell lines. We identified epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and upregulation of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) in resistant cells and further demonstrated the important role of Akt S473 phosphorylation in PARPi resistance. In addition, PARPi mediated EMT is independent of PD-L1 upregulation. Blocking the p-Akt S473 axis by metformin reversed EMT and PD-L1 expression which sensitized PARPi-resistant cells to cytotoxic T cells. Thus, a combination of metformin and PARP inhibitors may be a promising therapeutic strategy to increase the efficacy of PARP inhibitors and tumor sensitivity to immunotherapy.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer type (21% of all new cases) and the leading cause of cancer mortality (414,000 annual deaths, representing 14.1% of female cancer deaths) in women worldwide [1, 2]. As a heterogeneous cancer type, it was recently classified into six subgroups, according to the molecular expression: normal-like, luminal A, luminal B, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2)-positive, basal-like, and claudin-low [3].

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive subtype of breast cancer that lacks expression of estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and HER2, detectable by immunohistochemistry staining or in situ hybridization [4]. In the clinic, TNBC accounts for 15%-20% of breast cancer cases and 25% of breast cancer deaths [5] and is classically divided into four subtypes according to genomic profile: basal-like immune-suppressed, basal-like immune-activated, luminal androgen receptor, and mesenchymal [6]. This subclassification is supported by The Cancer Genome Atlas Program through mRNA, miRNA, DNA, and epigenetic analyses [7]. Similar to the six breast cancer subgroups mentioned above, the subtypes of TNBC are based on a differentiation hierarchy that mimics the developmental cascade of normal epithelial cells [8]. In this developmental process, a luminal progenitor forms the basal-like and HER2-positive subgroups and then differentiated into the luminal A and luminal B subgroups. The claudin subgroup is characterized by the dedifferentiation of cells, resembling the development of more aggressive tumor cells, a process known as epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [9, 10].

EMT has been championed by Weinberg and colleagues as a biological program associated with the transition from stable epithelial cancer
cells to mesenchymal-type cells and metastasis as well as the resistance to both traditional chemotherapy and immunotherapy [11, 12]. At the cellular level, EMT is accompanied by specific morphologic criteria and disorderly architecture, and at the molecular level, it is characterized by loss of E-cadherin and accompanied by encoding-associated genes, such as vimentin, N-cadherin, fibronectin, and integrins [13]. A significant mediator of EMT is the enrichment of cancer stem cells, also known as tumor-initiating cells, which are characterized by self-renewal, multipotent differentiation, and initiation of invasiveness and proliferation [14]. Moreover, tumor heterogeneity, initiated by cancer stems cells, is a driving force behind tumor relapse, leading to drug resistance, invasiveness, and aggressiveness [15, 16]. Tumor cells that progress to EMT are associated with early metastasis and poor prognosis in patients [16].

Various and complex factors are responsible for inducing EMT, which plays a key role in tumor cell resistance of tumor cells to chemotherapy and immunotherapy; among these factors is resistance to poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibition. PARPs represent a superfamily of 17 proteins with different cellular functions, such as spindle pole formation, cell cycle regulation, cell death, inflammation, adaptive immunity, and DNA repair. PARPs are the key components of base excision repair, involving the recruitment of repair enzymes at the site of single-strand breaks [17]. Multiple PARP inhibitors (PARPis), have been developed and tested in clinical trials, including breast cancer. In December 2014, the first PARPi (olaparib, or AZD2281) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of advanced BRCA-mutant ovarian cancer [18]. Subsequently, the PARPi, niraparib and rucaparib, were approved as a third-line treatment for advanced ovarian cancer [19]. In June 2018, talazoparib was approved for the treatment of HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer in patients with germline BRCA mutations [20]. Although preclinical and clinical studies indicated that PARP should be an effective target for synthetic lethality, a high percentage of patients with BRCA mutations do not respond to PARPis; instead of developing adaptive resistance [21]. Thus, it is critical to understand detailed mechanisms underlying PARPi resistance and develop strategies to overcome the resistance. In the current study, we focused on the mechanisms of adaptive resistance to newly approved PARPis, olaparib and rucaparib, in particular, their role of EMT.

Materials and methods

Cell cultures and treatments

Cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Human TNBC cells (MDA-MB-231, HCC1806, and MDA-MB-468) and Mouse TNBC cells (4T1) were grown in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. HCC70 and HCC1937 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum. SUM149 and SUM190 cells were incubated in HyClone DMEM/high glucose with 15% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. Human MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 5% horse serum, 10 mg/ml insulin, 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 100 ng/ml cholera toxin, and 500 ng/ml hydrocortisone. To set up PARPi-treated stable clones in PARPi sensitive cells (HCC70, HCC1937 and SUM190) and PARPi resistant cells (SUM149, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, HCC1806, 4T1, and MCF10A), we treated the cells with olaparib or rucaparib (5 µM) for at least 5 days.

Reagents

Olaparib, rucaparib, talazoparib, and doxorubicin were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. Daunorubicin was purchased from Calbiochem. N-cadherin, E-cadherin, vimentin, and programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

Morphology assay

TNBC cells (1 × 10^5) were seeded in 10 mm dish and treated with PARPis at a concentration of 5 µM in the medium with 10% FBS for 7 days. The concentration of PARPis were selected following literature reports and avoided inducing cytotoxicity throughout the analysis. Microscopic images were obtained with a high-resolution digital charge-coupled camera (AxioCam HRm, Carl Zeiss) and analyzed by ImageJ.
pect ratio is measured by the major axis/minor axis of about 130 cells. The scatter score is to a method to quantitate the degree of cell scattering, main characteristics of EMT in cells, the numbers of cell islands with cell-cell contacts per microscopic image of at least three independent experiments.

**Immunoblottings**

Whole-cell extracts were lysed in freshly prepared radioimmunoprecipitation buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.6], 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 140 mM NaCl, and 1 × protease inhibitor; Complete Mini, Roche), which was freshly added before lysis. To prepare the whole-cell lysates, we added 5 × SDS sample buffer to the cell lysates and sonicated them before they were resolved on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The protein concentrations of the lysates were measured using the Bio-Rad protein assay reagent on a Beckman Coulter DU-800 spectrophotometer.

**Plasmids and transfection**

For stable knockdown of PD-L1 study, breast cancer cells were transfected with pGIPZ shRNA vector (control; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) and plasmids (ORFeome Core at MD Anderson). Using a pGIPZ-shPD-L1/Flag-PD-L1 dual-expression construct to knock down endogenous PD-L1 expression and reconstitute Flag-PD-L1 simultaneously, endogenous PD-L1-knockdown and WT Flag-PD-L1- or 4NQ mutant (N35Q/N192Q/N200Q/N219Q) expressing cell lines were established [22].

**Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction**

TNBC cells were twice washed with PBS then immediately lysed in QIAzol lysis reagent. Total RNA was extracted from TNBC cells using a RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Venlo, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequentially subjected to complementary DNA synthesis via reverse transcription using a SuperScript III kit (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis was performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in triplicate with a real-time polymerase chain reaction machine (iQ5; Bio-Rad) and the following primers: N-cadherin-F, 5’-TATGCCCAAGACAAAGAGACC-3'; N-cadherin-R, 5’-CACTTCTGCTGACTCCTCA-3'; vimentin-F, 5’-TGAGTACCGGAGACAGGTGCAG-3'; vimentin-R, 5’-TACGGCTTCAACGGCAAAGTTTC-3'; RAD51-F, 5’-CAACCCATTTACAGGTTAGAGCc-3'; RAD51-R, 5’-TCTGTGGCGATGAGCAACA-3'; PD-L1-F, 5’-TCACTTCTGAAATTCTTGAGGC-3'; PD-L1-R, 5’-CTTGAGTGTGTCTCTGGATGCC-3'; GAPDH-F, 5’-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTTC-3'; GAPDH-R, 5’-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTTC-3'. Relative expression to GAPDH was calculated as a 2^ΔCt method, and relative gene expression as fold change was calculated as 2^ΔΔCt.

**Sphere assay**

Cells were dissociated with trypsin and washed, and cell viability was analyzed with trypan blue exclusion. Cell suspensions were seeded (3,000 viable cells per well) in 24-well ultra-low attachment plates (Corning) in a specified serum-free medium composed of DMEM/F-12, B27 supplement (Invitrogen), 20 ng/ml recombinant basic fibroblast growth factor (BD Biosciences), and 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor. After 10 days of culturing, spheres numbers were counted (size > 50 µm for MCF10A; size > 100 µm for 4T1).

**Flow cytometric analysis**

Single-cell suspensions were prepared and resuspended in staining buffer (BD Biosciences). Briefly, 1 × 10⁶ cells were trypsinized and washed in phosphate-buffered saline twice and then fixed in cold 70% ethanol for 30 minutes. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline twice and then resuspended in 400 µl of staining buffer with the following antibodies: PE-CD24 (BD Biosciences, #55-5428, 1:100), APC-CD44 (BioLegend, #1030-11, 1:100), PE/Cy7-CD44 (BioLegend, #1030-29, 1:100), and PD-L1 antibodies (Santa Cruz, #3137, 1:1000). Isotype immunoglobulin G (IgG) or secondary antibody alone was used as a negative control. Stained samples were evaluated by BD FACSCanto II (BD Immunocytometry Systems) and analyzed by FlowJo.

**Migration assay**

Two cell lines (MCF10A, 4T1) were used to analyze the cell migration of transfectant cells by wound healing assay with IncuCyte ZOOM.
microscope (Essen BioScience, Ann Arbor, MI). 5,000 cells (control group, pretreated with PARPi for 3 days) were seeded in 96-well plate. Cells were cultured to a confluence of 90% for 24 h then starved with 0.1% FBS overnight. Using the monolayer insert to generate a 0.9 mm wound and cultured in normal medium for an additional 24 h or 48 h. IncuCyte ZOOM microscope was set to record images every 2 hours. The distances invaded by the cells at the front of the wound were measured and analyzed as a percentage of migration. A relative wound density percentage was measured by the IncuCyte ZOOM microscope.

**PD-1 binding assay**

To evaluate the PD-1 binding ability, single-cell suspensions (1 × 10⁶ cells) were incubated with 5 μg/ml recombinant human PD-1 Fc chimera protein or recombinant mouse PD-1 chimera protein (for 4T1 cells; R&D Systems) at room temperature for 30 minutes. Cells were then stained with fluorescence-conjugated anti-human IgG secondary antibody. A secondary antibody was used as a negative control. After staining, the cells were subjected to analysis or further immunostaining. The immunofluorescence was evaluated by BD FACSCanto II (BD Immunocytometry Systems) and analyzed by FlowJo.

**Results**

**PARPiS induce scattering and morphologic changes in TNBC cells**

To identify the mechanism underlying adaptive resistance to PARPi, we treated several types of TNBC cells with olaparib and rucaparib for 7 days, PARPi-induced morphologic changes were observed in PARPi-sensitive cells (HCC70, HCC1937) and PARPi-resistant cells (MDA-MB-468, HCC1806) (**Figure 1A**). In addition, the cell numbers were counted and analyzed after indicated cells (1 × 10⁵) seeding at 10 mm dish (**Figure 1B**). These morphologic alterations were representative in MDA-MB-468 cells, and all determined by significant changes in aspect ratio and scatter score (**Figure 1C, 1D**). In the HCC70, HCC1937, HCC-1806, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-436, SUM149, and SUM149 cell lines, the cells were separated and elongated after at least 72 hours of treatment and showed a fibroblastoid shape after 7 days. These morphologic alterations are consistent with EMT features.

**PARPiS induce EMT markers in TNBC**

As the morphology of the treated cells became mesenchymal-like, we assessed the change in the expression levels of EMT markers in a panel of PARPi-treated stable clones. The typical EMT markers N-cadherin and vimentin were enhanced or induced by a PARPi (**Figure 2A**). We treated both PARPi-sensitive (SUM149) and PARPi-resistant (HCC1806) cell lines with various PARPiS (olaparib, rucaparib, and talazoparib). Western blot analysis indicated that both sensitive and resistant cells exhibited EMT following PARPi treatment for 72 h (**Figure 2B**). We also tested EMT markers in HCC1806 cells treated with olaparib at various doses and durations (**Figure 2C**), then we found with the increasing dose and duration treated in cells EMT markers exhibited enhanced expression. Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of morphologic changes showed that the network structure of N-cadherin, and vimentin expression in MDA-MB-468 (PARPi resistant cell line) and HCC1937 (PARPi sensitive cell line) cells were enhanced significantly in PARPi-treated stable clones (**Figure 2D**). The expression of the typical EMT marker E-cadherin was decreased in olaparib-treated cells. Thus, EMT was induced in both PARPi-sensitive and PARPi-resistant cell lines, suggesting that EMT is a common phenomenon in TNBC cells.

Next, we compared the mRNA expression of the EMT markers N-cadherin, vimentin, and RAD51 (a pivotal DNA double-strand break repair enzyme, also a mediator of PARPi resistance [23]) between olaparib-treated and untreated TNBC cells (**Figure 2E-G**), and found that mRNA levels of these markers were increased in the treated cells. Taken together, these results indicate that PARPiS contribute to tumor resistance and mesenchymal change.

**PARPi-treated stable clones exhibit stemness features**

Because TNBC cell lines treated with PARPiS exhibited mesenchymal-like change, we sought to determine whether PARPiS-treated stable clones (MDA-MB-468 and 4T1) would exhibit stemness features and functions. Compared with untreated cell lines, PARPi treatment en-
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riched PD-L1 expression as well as increased EMT marker CD24<sup>low</sup>-CD44<sup>+</sup> (Stemness marker) in MDA-MB-468 and 4T1 stable clones (Figure 3A, 3B). We then compared the stemness of PARPi-treated and untreated 4T1, MCF10A, and HCC1806 stable cells, which led to significant increases in stemness function, indicated by enhanced sphere-forming ability (Figure 3C, 3D). These results further illustrated the cells underwent EMT induced by PARPi were functionally stem-like.

**PARPi promote cell migration and invasion**

The results above indicated that PARPi-treated cells underwent morphologic changes, which are generally accompanied by enhanced migration and invasiveness, and decreased adhesion [24]. To validate this, we analyzed cell mobility of MCF10A and 4T1 cells treated with or without olaparib by the IncuCyte 96-well scratch wound cell migration assay. Olaparib-treated cells had much shorter wound distance compared with untreated cells (Figure 4A, 4B). Analysis of relative wound density by IncuCyte, also showed significantly more migration of the treated cells compared with the untreated cells (Figure 4C). To investigate the invasion ability, we analyzed HCC1806 and SUM149 cells treated with or without olaparib by transwell assay. As the representative images showed, olaparib-treated cells were

---

*Figure 1.* Olaparib induces scattering and morphologic changes in several triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines. A. Representative phase-contrast microscopy images of four cell lines treated with olaparib (5 µM) or vehicle for 7 days. Scale bar: 200 µM. B. Cell number counted by ImageJ in PARPi treated group and control group. Two group data were analyzed by GraphPrism 9.0. *P<0.05; **P<0.01 (Student’s t-test). Error bar represents standard and variation in 3 repeated experiments. C. Quantification of the aspect ratio between the area and circumference of TNBC cell lines, determined 7 days after treatment with or without olaparib. *P<0.05; **P<0.01 (Student’s t-test).
Figure 2. PARP inhibitors (PARPis) induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in several triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines. A. Western blot analysis of EMT markers, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin, in resting cells after treatment with olaparib (5 µM) for 72 hours. B. Western blot analysis of EMT markers, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin, in resting HCC1806 (PARP-resistant cell lines) and SUM149 (PARP-sensitive cell lines) cells after treatment with the indicated PARP inhibitor. C. Western blot analysis of EMT markers in HCC1806 cells after treatment with olaparib at the indicated doses and duration. D. Representative images of tumors stained with E-cadherin, N-cadherin, vimentin, and 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bar: 50 µM. E. mRNA levels of Vimentin in TNBC cells.
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The findings suggested inhibition of PARP increases cell migration and invasion ability.

**Figure 3.** PARP inhibitor-induced tumor cells exhibit stemness features. A. Flow cytometric analysis of stem-like markers (CD44 and CD24) in MDA-MB-468 and 4T1 cells treated with or without olaparib (CD24⁻/⁻/CD44⁺ represents stem-like features). B. Open histograms, isotype IgG negative control. The mean fluorescence intensity of each cell population was quantified by FlowJo for comparison. Experiments were repeated three times. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, Student’s t-test. C. Representative phase-contrast microscopy images of spheres growing from control cells or cells with epithelial-mesenchymal transition driven by PARP inhibitors. Scale bar: 400 µM. D. Open histograms represent the relative number of spheres (diameter > 50 μM) on the third and sixth day of treatment. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, Student’s t-test.

PARP inhibitors increase cell migration and invasion ability.
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Reversal of PARPi-induced upregulation of PD-L1 by metformin and chemotherapy

We showed above that PARPis induce EMT resulting in enhanced migration and invasiveness. EMT has been reported to regulate PD-L1 [25, 26], the main immune checkpoint marker in the PD-1/PD-L1 axis. As shown in Figure 5A, PD-L1 protein expression was upregulated in PARPi-treated stable cells (Figure 5A). Consistent with prior studies [27], enhanced levels of PD-L1 were detected on the cell membrane in PARPi-treated MCF10A and HCC1806 cells (Figure 5B). Furthermore, as the previous study mentioned [28], metformin was able to downregulate PD-L1 in PARPi-treated stable (MCF10A) and resistant (HCC1806) clones (Figure 5B). PD-L1 mRNA levels were positively correlated with EMT markers (Figure S1).

The finding that the PARPi/EMT signaling axis is crucial for mediating PD-L1 induction in breast cancer cells suggests that the regulation of PD-L1 expression and increased sensitivity to immunotherapy may be accomplished by reversing EMT. However, no effective EMT inhibitors are currently available for clinical use. We previously showed that topoisomerase II (TOP2) poisons, e.g., doxorubicin, epirubicin, or daunorubicin, as well as metformin, can cause the reversal of EMT to mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) in PARPi-treated stable clones [29]. On this basis, we focused on TOP2 poisons and metformin that inhibit EMT. PARPi-treated stable clones treated with TOP2 poisons exhibited increased expression of the MET marker, E-cadherin [29]. Because those three chemotherapeutic agents markedly reduced cell number, we assessed the number and utilized two different treatment strategies: 1) continuous low-dose, long-term treatment, and 2) high-dose, short-term treatment to determine the viability of the treated cells. As expected, we observed non-fusiformed morphology in the PARPis, and TOP2 inhibitors treated tumor cells (Figure 5C, 5G). Doxorubicin, epirubicin, and daunorubicin induced MET in PARPi-induced stable clones (Figure 5D). Low-dose treatment got higher viability (Figure 5J) with the same efficiency in reversing EMT (Figure 5H) compared with high-dose, short-term treatment (Figure 5E, 5F). Metformin downregulated PD-L1 and reversed EMT in PARPi-treated resistant stable clones (Figures 5B, 5D, 5H, S2). Together, these results demonstrated that the TOP2 inhibitors tested and metformin are effective in promoting MET ability [30], thereby sensitizing TNBC cells to PARPis.

PARPis induce EMT and upregulate PD-L1 by activation of phospho-Akt (p-Akt)

To identify the underlying mechanism which upregulates PD-L1 and EMT, we examined EMT-related gene expression by Western blot analysis. Using a tyrosine kinase inhibitor microarray screening [27], we found p-Akt levels were elevated in PARPi-resistant cell lines. To identify the signaling pathway responsible for PARPi-mediated EMT, we examined PARPi-treated and untreated cells by Western blot analysis using the p-Akt S473 primary antibody. PARPi-treated stable cells were treated with two Akt inhibitors (MK2206, 1 µM; LY290004, 1 µM), a Mek1/2 inhibitor (U0126, 1 µM), and metformin (10 µM), and representative mesenchymal markers and p-Akt S473 were analyzed. The results showed the p-Akt S473 expression was upregulated by olaparib (Figure 6A), whereas the Akt inhibitors, Mek1/2 inhibitor, and metformin attenuated PARPi-mediated upregulation of PD-L1 (Figure 6B). These results indicated that PARPi-mediated EMT and upregulation of membrane-bound PD-L1 are regulated through p-Akt S473 activation, suggesting that PARPi activates the Akt pathway to induce EMT.

Since PARPis both induced EMT and enhanced membrane-bound PD-L1 expression, we
Figure 5. Reversal of PARP inhibitor-mediated PD-L1 upregulation metformin and chemotherapy. A. Western blot analysis of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin) and PD-L1 in MCF10A cells at the indicated times upon treatment with 5 µM olaparib. B. Flow cytometry analysis of PD-L1 expression levels in treated populations of MCF10A and HCC1806 cells. The three representative groups shown are IgG, untreated, rucaparib (10 µM), and metformin (10 µM). C. Representative phase-contrast image of PARPi-induced HCC1806 cells with a high dose of doxorubicin, epirubicin and daunorubicin for 24 h. CTRL, control; DOXO, doxorubicin (0.1µM); EPI, epirubicin (1 µM); DNR, daunorubicin (0.5 µM). Scale bars: 400 µM. D. The right panel shows a Western blot analysis of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin) in resting cells after treatment with the indicated agents on a high-dose, short-term (24-hour) schedule. E. A relative number of cells after treatment with the indicated agents on a high-dose, short-term schedule. F. Cell viability was analyzed by trypan blue exclusion. G. Representative phase-contrast image of PARPi-induced HCC1806 cells with a low dose of doxorubicin, epirubicin and daunorubicin for 96 h. CTRL, control; DOXO, doxorubicin (0.01 µM); EPI, epirubicin (0.01 µM); DNR, daunorubicin (0.01 µM). Scale bars: 400 µM. H. The right panel shows Western blot analysis of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin) in resting
further investigated the relationship between EMT and membrane-bound PD-L1. PD-L1-knockdown MDA-MB-231 (by short hairpin RNA) cells were treated with olaparib followed by Western blot analysis for EMT marker expression (Figure 6C). Both the parental and PD-L1 knockdown cells exhibited similar expression levels of EMT markers upon PARPi treatment. Thus, the results suggested that EMT and PD-L1 upregulation are independent.

Discussion

PARPi have been widely utilized as single-agent targeted therapy or in combination with Cediranib Maleate in clinical trials for many cancer types, but there is increasing evidence indicating resistance to PARPi is common in the clinic [31]. Olaparib was the first U.S. FDA-approved PARPi for recurrent breast cancer [32], and was later approved PARPi for platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer [33]. Studies on resistance to PARPi revealed several mechanisms are involved, including mutations of the BRCA1 C-terminal domain [34], reactivation of BRCA1 transcription through epigenetic regulation [35], replication fork stabilization [36, 37], miR-622-mediated suppression of the non-homologous end-joining pathway [38], overexpression of the HOX family [39], increases in the P-glycoprotein drug efflux transporter, and restoration of hormone receptor activity [40]. In addition, deregulation of kinases, e.g., the MET proto-oncogene, EGFR, VEGFR, AXL, have been reported to regulate PARP enzymes and further induce acquired resistance in TNBC [41-46].

In addition, PARPi-sensitive (HCC1937, HCC70) and PARPi-resistant (MDA-MB-468, HCC1806) breast cancer cells treated with PARPi for 72 hours had significant fusiform morphologic changes. The change corresponded with significantly enhanced expression of EMT markers, such as N-cadherin and vimentin, indicating that EMT was induced by PARPi [41, 46]. Another study demonstrated that the proportion of cancer stem cells was elevated as indicated by high aldehyde dehydrogenase activity after 7-day PARPi treatment in BRCA1-mutant cell lines, SUM149 and HCC 1937 [23]. The results suggested that adaptive resistance to targeted therapy may be attributed to EMT in both BRCA1-mutant and BRCA1-wild type cells. Recently, Gogola et al. [47] demonstrated that loss of poly (ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase (PARG) contributed to PARPi resistance independently of BRCA1/2 and its depletion was pre-existing in a subset of human serous ovarian cancers and TNBC. PARG loss could be an indicator of PARPi treatment, but some researchers posited that this effect was dependent on the cell line and the degree of PARG suppression [48, 49]. The current findings showed that PARPi-induced EMT is a process associated with the adaptive resistance whereas PARG loss may represent a general mechanism for initial PARPi resistance.

Although some researchers have suggested that EMT regulates PD-L1, others have shown that PARPi induces cross-expression of PD-L1, and the mechanisms of this process remain unclear [27]. Co-expression of PD-L1 and p-Akt has been associated with poor prognosis in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma via the PD-1/PD-L1 axis activating the intracellular Akt/mTOR pathway [50, 51]. Inconsistent with the idea, we demonstrated that PARPi-induced upregulation of PD-L1 is correlated with the activation of p-Akt S473 and that both the protein and mRNA levels of the membrane and cytosol-bound PD-L1 increased. Upregulation of PD-L1 has previously been reported to be induced by EMT through the β-catenin/STT3 axis-mediated transcriptional pathway [29]. Others have concluded that EMT epigenetically enriches PD-L1 through miR-200 in the general cell population [52]. Our results illustrated that even after PD-L1 knockout, a PARPi was able to...
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Figure 6. PARP inhibitors induce EMT and PD-L1 upregulation by enhancing p-Akt S473 activation. A. Western blot analysis of N-cadherin, E-cadherin, p-GSK3β, p-Akt S473, PD-L1 and β-actin in olaparib (5 μM) treated HCC1806 cells at indicated time points. B. Western blot analysis of EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin) and PD-L1 in resting cells after treatment with indicated agents. C. Western blot analysis of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, p-GSK3β, p-Akt, and PD-L1 in MDA-MB-231 shPD-L1 cells. D. A proposed working model. PARPi induces EMT through activation of p-Akt S473/mTOR axis pathway, and independently, transcriptional activation of PD-L1 responds to that pathway. Both can be blocked by metformin and sensitized to T cell. TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; PARPi, PARP inhibitors.
induce EMT in breast cancer cells, which showed PARPi-induced EMT is independent of the upregulation of membranous or cytosolic PD-L1. This finding contrasts with previous studies suggesting that upregulation of PD-L1 is an independent process, which points out anti-PD-L1 monotherapy would not efficiently block the adaptive resistance to PARPi because of the ongoing EMT.

Our findings showed that different chemotherapeutic agents-Akt inhibitors, a MEK1/2 inhibitor, and metformin, induced MET by varying degrees, and are therefore potential candidates for reversing EMT caused by PARPis. Consistent with the literature, the Akt/mTOR pathway mediates many PD-L1 functions, and several inhibitors, e.g., rapamycin, everolimus, were in the clinical trials to circumvent the resistance to immunotherapy [53, 54]. However, we found that metformin can efficiently reverse EMT induced by PARPi. Metformin plays a role in the activation of AMPK by inhibiting the mTOR pathway, which is associated with tumor growth, resistance to pharmacotherapy, and poor prognosis [55]. In addition, metformin increases the anti-angiogenic effects by inactivating mTOR and has been shown to inhibit cancer stem cells, which are specifically derived from EMT [56]. The anti-tumor effect of metformin has been reported to occur through its inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR or Ras/AMPK signaling pathways critical for cancer progression [55, 57]. Several clinical studies have demonstrated the therapeutic potential and substantial antitumor effects of metformin in breast cancer patients [58]. A case-control study of breast cancer patients with diabetes showed that after chemotherapy, the pathologic complete response rate was significantly improved by metformin [59]. In addition, neoadjuvant metformin improved the prognosis and overall survival in patients with type 2 diabetes and other cancers [60].

When combined with immunotherapy, metformin blocks the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway and increases tumor cell immune sensitivity to T cells [28]. Here, we also showed that metformin inhibits PARPi-mediated EMT, suggesting that metformin has the potential to both attenuate upregulated PD-L1 and enhanced EMT. Collectively, the addition of metformin may be a promising therapeutic strategy to enhance the efficacy of PARPi in treating TNBC.
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Figure S1. Upregulation of PD-L1 induced by PARP inhibitors. mRNA levels of PD-L1 in TNBC cells treated with or without PARP inhibitors by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. Error bars represent standard and variation in 3 repeated experiments.

Figure S2. Metformin blocks EMT function by Transwell Matrigel invasion assay. A. Representative microscopic images of HCC1806 cells that treated with olaparib (5 µM), metformin (10 µM) and a combination of the two drugs, which invaded through the transwell in the Matrigel invasion assay. (Giemsa stain, magnification × 10). B. The box-and-whisker plot of cells number per visual field was shown and analyzed (magnification × 10) of 4 replicate wells. (Welch’s test, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001).