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The aberrant expression of ADAR1 promotes resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer by stabilizing c-Myc
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Abstract: Pancreatic cancer is a malignant tumor with the worst prognosis worldwide. This cancer type requires new insight to help with diagnosis and, eventually, treatment. Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA 1 (ADAR1) is reportedly overexpressed in many types of tumors, such as lung, liver, breast, and esophageal cancers. However, the biological significance and specific mechanism of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer have not been explored. In this study, we reveal that the expression level of ADAR1 is significantly up-regulated in pancreatic cancer tissues. We also find that highly expressed ADAR1 is closely associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer specimens. Overexpressed ADAR1 equally increased the growth activity of pancreatic cancer cells in vivo and in vitro. We further demonstrate that ADAR1 stabilizes c-Myc through AKT signaling, which contributes to cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells. Moreover, we reveal that EZH2 regulates ADAR1 expression, and EZH2 and BET inhibitors show synergistic inhibition in pancreatic cancer. Collectively, these findings suggest that ADAR1 could serve as a new diagnostic and prognostic marker for the treatment of pancreatic cancer.
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Introduction
Pancreatic cancer is a malignant tumor that is challenging to diagnose and treat [1-3]. Various therapeutic strategies have been used to treat pancreatic cancer, including surgery, chemoradiotherapy, and immunotherapy. However, the prognosis of pancreatic cancer remains poor, and the five-year survival rate is less than 5% [4].

Genetic mutation is a common characteristic of tumors that drives cancer initiation and progression [5]. Several genetic alterations have been reported to characterize the highly heterogeneous malignant tumor that is pancreatic cancer [5]. KRAS, TP53, SMAD4, and CDKN2A mutations are associated with the tumorigene-

sis of pancreatic cancer [6]. Therefore, understanding the role of mutated genes in pancreatic cancer could help identify new candidates for cancer therapy.

Myc has been found amplified in pancreatic cancer patients [6, 7] and is also constitutively up-regulated in multiple types of tumors, including breast, colorectal, gastric, and uterine cancers [8]. Increasing evidence indicates that c-Myc alone could drive the initiation of pancreatic cancer and promote the proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance of tumor cells [9-11]. Also, it has been reported that the aberrant expression of c-Myc contributes to the resistance of cancerous cells to bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells, but the down-regula-
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tion of c-Myc enhances the sensitivity to these cells to inhibitors [12]. Therefore, c-Myc is a proto-oncogenic protein and a key mediator in the resistance to BET inhibitors and is potentially a promising target for the treatment of cancer.

Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADAR) is an RNA-binding protein that converts adenosine (A) to inosine (I) by deamination [13]. ADAR proteins make RNA structures unstable by damaging base pairing between adenosine (A) and uracil (U). Editing leads to codon changes, which may cause changes for the protein-coding sequences and their functions [14]. Three types of ADARs are known to exist, including ADAR1, 2, and 3 [15]. ADAR1 plays a significant role in modulating the processes of apoptosis, proliferation, and differentiation in cancer cells [16-20], but its specific function in pancreatic cancer has not been investigated yet.

In this research, we systematically explore the specific role of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer to assess it as a potential target for pancreatic cancer diagnosis and treatment. First of all, we study the clinical feature of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer. Next, we assess ADAR1’s ability to promote pancreatic cancer cell proliferation in vivo and in vitro. Lastly, we investigate EZH2 inhibitors’ (GSK126) ability to decrease ADAR1 expression and show a synergistic anti-tumor effect when combined with BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cell lines, cell culture, cell transfection, and infection

Pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1 and BxPC-3) were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Science Cell Bank. All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone, USA). All cell lines were kept in a 37°C incubator at 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

For cell transfection, pancreatic cancer cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 2.5a × 10³ cells per well for 24 hours. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For cell infection, a lentivirus-based control and gene-specific shRNAs (Sigma-Aldrich) were employed to interfere with the expression of genes. Firstly, shRNAs were transfected in 293T cells using Opti-MEM medium, and after 24 hours, the medium was replaced by prepared DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. Next, the virus culture solution was gathered after 48 hours of continuous culture and mixed with PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cell lines supplemented with 12 μg/ml of polybrene. 10 μg/ml of puromycin was used to filtrate successfully infected cells 24 hours after mixing. The specific sequence information of shRNAs is provided in Table S1. shADAR1m was a mix of shADAR1 #1 (50%) and #2 (50%).

Plasmids and reagents

Flag-ADAR1s were purchased from Shanghai Genechem Co., LTD. Antibodies used were: ADAR1 (Proteintech, 14330-1-AP, working dilution 1:1000), GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, 5174, working dilution 1:5000), EZH2 (Cell Signaling Technology, 5246, working dilution 1:1000), Myc (Abcam, ab168724, working dilution 1:1000), AKT (Cell Signaling Technology, 2920, working dilution 1:1000), and pAKT (Cell Signaling Technology, 2920, working dilution 1:1000). Reagents used were: Palbociclib (PD0332991) (Cat. No. S1579), JQ1 (Cat. No. S7110), MK2206 (Cat. No. S1078), GSK126 (Cat. No. S7061), Dinaciclib (SCH727965) (Cat. No. S2768), Everolimus (RAD001) (Cat. No. S1120), MK1775 (Cat. No. S1525), p38 MAPK inhibitor (SB203580) (Cat. No. S1076), JSH-23 (Cat. No. S7351), Gemcitabine PD0325901 (Cat. No. S2619), all purchased from Selleckchem.

Cell proliferation and clone formation assay

The MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt) assay was used to detect cell viability in vitro. Briefly, PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells per well containing 100 ul of DMEM medium. 20 ul of MTS was added to each well and incubated for 1 hour in an incubator positioned away from the light. The absorbance of samples was then measured at 490 nm using a microplate reader.

6-well plates were used to conduct clone formation assays, with 500 cells counted and
seeded in each well. Media in the plates were replaced every three days, and two weeks later, 4% paraformaldehyde was used to fix cell colonies for 30 minutes, followed by staining of the cell colonies with crystal violet for 20 minutes.

Western blotting of cells and tissues

The Local Ethics Committee (Tongji Medical College, China) authorized our request to collect 12 pairs of cancer and adjacent tissues. The patients signed written informed consent before surgery. Tumor tissues or cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer containing 1% of protease inhibitors, after which proteins were extracted, and their concentrations were determined using protein assay kits (Pierce Biotechnology, USA). The extracted proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Pierce Biotechnology, USA). The PVDF membranes were then dipped in 5% skimmed milk for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by incubation with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, washing with light a, incubation with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature, and exposure of protein bands using an ECL illuminating liquid under the illumination of X-rays.

Real-time RT-PCR

Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was used to extract total RNA in pancreatic cancer cells. The RNA was reverse transcribed to produce cDNA per the manufacturer’s instructions (PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit). RT-PCR analysis was carried out to amplify the produced cDNA using a PCR kit (TB Green™ Fast qPCR Mix). The cycle index was normalized to GAPDH, and the 2-ΔCq method was used to describe multiple variations. The forward and reverse primer sequences are provided in Table S2.

Flow cytometry analysis

We utilized the Annexin V-FITC/PI kit (AntGene, China) to mark viable and non-viable apoptotic cells to detect apoptosis rates. According to the protocol of the manufacturer of the kit, the cells were first washed with 1 × PBS, then Annexin V-FITC was added to the resuspended cells using a binding buffer and left to incubate for 10 minutes in the absence of light at room temperature. Finally, PI was added to the cells and analyzed using a Flow Cytometer.

A xenograft transplantation model in nude mice

BALB/c-nude mice (4-5 weeks of age, 18-20 g) were purchased from Vitalriver (Beijing, China) and randomly divided into eleven groups with six mice per group. Mice in each group were subcutaneously inoculated on the left back side with corresponding infective cells (5 × 10^6/each mouse). The length and width of xenografts were measured using a vernier caliper, and their volumes were determined using the formula \( (L \times W^2)/2 \). All mice were euthanized 21 days after subcutaneous implantation, and all xenografts were excised and weighed. All experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

Tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Tissue microarray slides were purchased from Outdo Biobank (Shanghai, China). These slides were immunostained with ADAR1 (Proteintech, working dilution 1:400) and c-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 5605P, working dilution 1:100). The staining index (SI) was calculated as the product of the staining intensity score and the proportion of positive tumor cells. The staining intensity was graded according to the following criteria: 1 = weak staining at 100 × magnification but little or no staining at 40 × magnification; 2 = medium staining at 40 × magnification; 3 = strong staining at 40 × magnification.

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests for data analyses were carried out to determine statistical significance using a one-sided or two-sided paired Student’s t-test for a single comparison. The data are expressed as mean ± SD. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

High expression of ADAR1 is associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer

At first, we investigated the clinical feature of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA)
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Figure 1. Highly expressed ADAR1 is closely associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer. A. The mRNA expression level analysis of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer patient specimens (n = 179) and pancreatic non-tumor tissues (n = 171) by using the GEPIA web tool. *, P < 0.05. B. The typical IHC image of ADAR1 in pancreatic tissue microarray (pancreatic non-tumor tissue n = 21, pancreatic cancer n = 31). C. The expression level of ADAR1 determined by IHC in pancreatic tissue microarray (pancreatic non-tumor tissue n = 25, pancreatic cancer n = 31), P < 0.001. D and E. The expression level of ADAR1 analyzed by Western Blotting from 12 pair of pancreatic cancer patient specimens and adjacent non-tumor tissues. P = 0.0025. F. The disease free survival time of pancreatic cancer patients with different expression level of ADAR1 was determined by GEPIA, p values as indicated. G. The overall survival time of pancreatic cancer patients with different expression level of ADAR1 was determined by GEPIA and Human Protein atlas.

web tool and found that ADAR1 increased in pancreatic cancer tissues compared with non-tumor tissues (Figure 1A). The clinical specimens from tissue microarray, including pancreatic non-tumor tissues (n = 25) and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tissues (n = 31), were examined using IHC staining to determine ADAR1 protein levels. The results indicated that ADAR1 was up-regulated in pancreatic cancer tissues compared to non-tumor tissues (Figure 1B and 1C).

Next, we analyzed the protein levels of ADAR1 in 12 cases of pancreatic cancer specimens and corresponding adjacent tissues using Western blotting, revealing that ADAR1 was also overexpressed in pancreatic cancer tissues (P = 0.0025) (Figure 1D and 1E). The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis data, meanwhile, indicated that ADAR1 expression had no effect on prolonging or shortening the disease-free survival time of pancreatic cancer patients (P = 0.29) (Figure 1F), despite GEPIA (P =
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0.042) and Human Protein Atlas (P = 0.041) (Figure 1G) showing that the overexpression of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer patient specimens shortened their overall survival time. Our data suggest that overexpressed ADAR1 results in a lower survival rate in pancreatic cancer.

The aberrant expression of ADAR1 promotes tumor proliferation in pancreatic cancer

With ADAR1 a potential prognostic marker of pancreatic cancer (Figure 1), its specific role in the progression of pancreatic cancer needs to be explored further. To that effect, we knocked down ADAR1 in PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells using shRNAs (Figure 2A). Both cell proliferation and colony formation assays revealed that knocking down ADAR1 markedly inhibited the growth activity of pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 2B and 2C). Simultaneously, the overexpression of ADAR1 was also performed per the indicated plasmid transfections in pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 2D), resulting in the significant promotion of pancreatic cancer cell growth (Figure 2E and 2F).

Additionally, we employed the xenografts tumor model after knocking down ADAR1 and then rescuing its expression in PANC-1 cells to investigate the growth-promoting effect of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer in vivo (Figure 2G). Per this experiment, knocking down ADAR1 impeded tumor growth, while rescuing ADAR1 expression diminished the inhibition of ADAR1 expression (Figure 2H-J). Collectively, our results indicate that ADAR1 played a key role in increasing the growth activity of pancreatic cancer cells.

ADAR1 regulates the sensitivity of BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells

To study the role of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer further, we performed a drug screening assay with 11 sorts of small molecular inhibitors and compared the corresponding drug sensitivity after knocking down ADAR1 in PANC-1 cells (Figure 3A). Intriguingly, knocking down ADAR1 with mixed pool shRNAs of ADAR1 (shADAR1m) increased the sensitivity of cancer cells to BET inhibitors in PANC-1 cells by reducing the IC50 ratio of JQ1, the commonly studied BET inhibitor [21] (Figure 3A). Furthermore, MTS and colony formation assays revealed slower growth rates in both BxPC-3 and PANC-1 cells in the ADAR1 knockdown group when treated with JQ1 compared with the control group treated with JQ1 (Figure 3B and 3C). Consistent with these findings, the expression level of cleaved-Caspase-3 in pancreatic cancer cells was up-regulated in the ADAR1 knockdown group after treatment with JQ1 compared to the control group (Figure 3D), suggesting that the down-regulation of ADAR1 makes pancreatic cancer cells more prone to apoptosis after treatment with JQ1.

The Annexin-V/Propidium Iodide assay was also performed through flow cytometry analysis to provide more compelling evidence that ADAR1 knockdown plus JQ1 treatment produced a significant apoptosis rate in PANC-1 cells compared to the control group (Figure 3E and 3F).

Also, the xenograft tumor assay revealed that the ADAR1 knockdown group treated with JQ1 had the smallest excised tumor weight, the slowest tumor growth rate, and the highest cleaved-Caspase-3 level (Figure 3G-J), corroborating the finding that ADAR1 expression levels regulated JQ1 sensitivity in a living body. These results, therefore, indicate that ADAR1 could regulate the sensitivity of cancer cells to BET inhibitors in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

ADAR1 increases the protein level of c-Myc in pancreatic cancer

We have demonstrated that the overexpression of ADAR1 accelerated the growth of pancreatic cancer cells, although the underlying mechanism remains unknown. We have also shown that ADAR1 contributed to cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer (Figure 3). c-Myc is the key mediator of cancer cell sensitivity to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer [12, 22, 23], and it is also recognized as an oncogenic protein to promote tumor progression [24]. Therefore, we attempted to establish the relationship between ADAR1 and c-Myc in pancreatic cancer. First, we observed that the protein expression level of c-Myc was markedly suppressed after knocking down ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 4A). In contrast, ADAR1 overexpression increased the protein level of c-Myc in pancreatic cancer (Figure 4C). Interestingly, RT-qPCR analysis showed no significant change in the expression of c-Myc after both the up-regulation and down-regulation of ADAR1 (Figure 4B and 4D).
Figure 2. Aberrant expression of ADAR1 promotes tumor proliferation in pancreatic cancer. (A-C) Pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1 and BxPC-3) were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis (A), cell proliferation assay (B) and colony formation assay (C). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. (D-F) Pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1 and BxPC-3) were transfected with indicated plasmids. 72 h post-transfection, cells were used for Western Blotting analysis (D), cell proliferation assay (E) and colony formation assay (F). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. (G-J) PANC-1 cells infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, the protein level of ADAR1 was analyzed by Western Blotting (G), then cells were injected subcutaneously into the nude mice for xenografts assay for 21 days. The image of xenografts was shown in (H), the tumor mass and volume of xenografts was determined in (I and J). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 6). ***, P < 0.001.
Figure 3. ADAR1 regulates the sensitivity of BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells. (A) PANC-1 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids for 72 h. Cells were treated the different types of small inhibitors for 48 h, the IC50 values was analyzed and IC50 ratio between shControl and shADAR1m group was determined and shown in the panel. (B) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were transfected with indicated with plasmids for 72 h. Then, cells were treated with or without JQ1 (5 μM) for 48 h. cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis. (C and D) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids for 72 h. Then, cells were treated with or without JQ1 (5 μM) for MTS
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assay and colony formation assay. Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). ***, P < 0.001. (E and F) PANC-1 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids for 72 h. Then, cells were treated with or without JQ1 (5 uM) for 48 h. Cells were subjected to Annexin-V/Propidium Iodide assay. Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. (G) PANC-1 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids for 72 h. Cell were subcutaneously injected into the nude mice. These mice were treated with or without JQ1 for 27 days (I). These tumors were harvested for photograph (G), weight (H) and caspase 3 analysis by IHC (J). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 6 for H and I, n = 3 for J). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

Figure 4. ADAR1 increases the protein level of c-Myc in pancreatic cancer. (A and B) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were subjected to Western Blotting analysis (A) and RT-qPCR analysis (B). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). n.s., not significant. (C and D) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids for 48 h. Cells were subjected to Western Blotting analysis (C) and RT-qPCR analysis (D).
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To ascertain the relationship between ADAR1 and c-Myc further, IHC analysis was performed using the tissue microarray of pancreatic cancer (n = 31) to determine the protein expression level of ADAR1 and c-Myc. The representative images of ADAR1 and c-Myc are displayed in Figure 4E. The IHC scores of ADAR1 and c-Myc tissue microarray (n = 31) were calculated respectively and aggregated into a Heatmap (Figure 4F). We found that there was a positive correlation between ADAR1 and c-Myc protein (Spearman Correlation Coefficient r = 0.4102, P = 0.0219) (Figure 4G). Besides, by analyzing the mRNA levels of ADAR1 and Myc gene alteration from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) datasets, we found that an increased ADAR1 expression did not accompany the up-regulation of Myc gene expression, and the fluctuation of these two genes did not have any correlation (Spearman Correlation Coefficient r = 0.01, P = 0.946) (Figure 4H and 4I). Taken together, we demonstrated that ADAR1 increased the c-Myc protein levels in pancreatic cancer cells.

ADAR1 stabilizes c-Myc through AKT signaling

Since we have demonstrated that ADAR1 regulated the protein level but not the mRNA level of c-Myc in pancreatic cancer cell lines (Figure 4A-D), we explored whether ADAR1 modulates the protein stability of c-Myc in pancreatic cancer. Firstly, we showed that the knockdown of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer cells using mixed shADAR1 (shADAR1m) decreased the expression of c-Myc, but the proteasome inhibitor (MG132) halted the process (Figure 5A). Moreover, the knockdown of ADAR1 in PANC-1 cells decreased the protein half-life of c-Myc, but the ectopic overexpression of ADAR1 prolonged the protein half-life of c-Myc (Figure 5B and 5C).

Protein ubiquitin modification is one of the leading causes of the degradation of target proteins by the ubiquitin-proteasome system in cells [25]. Here, our result demonstrated that ADAR1 decreased the polyubiquitination of c-Myc in PANC-1 cells (Figure 5D and 5E).

Because ADAR1 does not belong to the E3 ligase protein family, the underlying mechanism of how ADAR1 modulates the stability of c-Myc needs to be investigated further. The ADAR1/FGFR2/AKT signaling pathway reportedly promotes tumor cell proliferation [26]. Hence, we examined whether the knockdown of ADAR1 inhibits the phosphorylation of AKT at Ser-473 sites (Figure 5F). The PI3K/AKT pathway, meanwhile, is reported to inhibit the ubiquitination and degradation of c-Myc [27]. Our data demonstrated that the effect of increasing or decreasing c-Myc in pancreatic cancer cell lines induced by the overexpression or knockdown of ADAR1 was diminished by blocking the activation of the AKT pathway using AKT inhibitors (MK2206) (Figure 5G and 5H). Therefore, our results suggest that ADAR1 stabilizes c-Myc protein through PI3K/AKT signaling in pancreatic cancer.

To further determine whether ADAR1 regulated cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors via c-Myc, we knocked down ADAR1 alone, c-Myc alone, or both ADAR1 and c-Myc concurrently in pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 5I), treated the cells with JQ1, and subjected them to the MTS assay (Figure 5J). Our data revealed that the co-knockdown of ADAR1 and c-Myc did not increase the sensitivity of cancer cells to JQ1 more than the knockdown of c-Myc alone did in both PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells, which suggested that c-Myc is a key mediator for ADAR1-induced resistance to BET inhibitors.

Synergistic inhibition of pancreatic adenocarcinoma with EZH2 and BET inhibitors

Given that ADAR1 stabilized the protein levels of c-Myc via the PI3K/AKT pathway and impacted cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer, we regarded ADAR1 as a promising therapeutic target for pancreatic cancer and, thus, needed to test new drugs or inhibitors that can suppress the expression of ADAR1. To do that, we treated PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells with 9 different inhibitors, including, PD0332991 (5 uM), MK2206 (5 uM), AZD1775 (0.5 uM), GSK126 (10 uM), SB203580...
**Figure 5.** ADAR1 stabilizes c-Myc through AKT signaling. A. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were infected with indicated plasmids for 48 h. Cells were collected for Western Blotting analysis after treated with or without MG132 for 8 h. B. PANC-1 cells were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were treated with Cycloheximide (CHX) and cells were collected for Western Blotting analysis at different time points. C. PANC-1 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. After 24 h, cells were treated with Cycloheximide (CHX) and cells were collected for Western Blotting analysis at different time points. D. PANC-1 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h post-transfection, cells were collected for Western Blotting analysis after treated with MG132 for 8 h. E. PANC-1 cells were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were collected for Western Blotting analysis after treated with MG132 for 8 h. F. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis. G. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. After 24 h, cells were treated with or without MK2206 (5 uM) for other 24 h. Cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis. H. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were transfected with indicated plasmids. After 48 h, cells were treated with or without MK2206 (5 uM) for other 24 h. Cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis. I. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were knocked down ADAR1 alone, c-Myc alone, or both ADAR1 and c-Myc concurrently for Western Blotting analysis. J. PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells, knocked down ADAR1 alone, c-Myc alone, or both ADAR1 and c-Myc concurrently, were treated with JQ1 and subjected to MTS assay. ***, P < 0.001.
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(0.5 uM), RAD001 (5 nM), SCH727965 (5 nM), PDX325901 (1 nM), and JQ1 (1 uM) for 48 h. We then subjected the treated cells to Western Blotting and RT-qPCR analyses to estimate the expression of ADAR1 and found that the EZH2 inhibitor (GSK126) suppressed the expression of ADAR1 compared to the DMSO treatment group (Figure 6A and 6B).

Next, we showed that not only the dosage (Figure 6C and 6D) but also the treatment time (Figure 6E and 6F) could affect the inhibitory effect of GSK126 on ADAR1 expression in pancreatic cancer. GSK126 manifested inhibitory effects on the expression of ADAR1 in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 6C-F).

Because GSK126 is one of the specific inhibitors of EZH2, we pondered whether EZH2 regulated ADAR1 expression in pancreatic cancer. Knocking down EZH2 in PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells using specific shRNAs decreased the protein and mRNA levels of ADAR1 (Figure 6G and 6H).

Furthermore, we calculated the Spearman Correlation Coefficient of the mRNA expression level of ADAR1 and EZH2 using the GEPIA web tool to determine any apparent positive correlation between the two genes (r = 0.74, P = 4.1e-62) (Figure 6I). These data indicated that EZH2 regulated the expression of ADAR1, but the underlying mechanism needs further elucidation.

Since ADAR1 was responsible for cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors (JQ1) and EZH2 inhibitors (GSK126) repressed ADAR1 expression in pancreatic cancer cells, we sought to know whether the combination of GSK126 and JQ1 would show more profound anti-tumor effects than applying the drugs separately. We divided PANC-1 cells into four different treatment groups, including DMSO, GSK126, JQ1, and GSK126+JQ1 groups. Findings from the MTS and colony formation assays suggested that the combination treatment of GSK126 and JQ1 had a more inhibitory effect on tumor cell proliferation compared with each of GSK126 or JQ1 treatment alone (Figure 6J and 6K).

Similarly, in vivo experiments revealed that the tumor in the GSK126 plus JQ1 co-treatment group had the slowest growth rate (Figure 6L and 6M). Collectively, our results indicated that GSK126 and JQ1 had synergistic effects in inhibiting tumor proliferation in pancreatic cancer.

Discussion

ADAR1 is essential for the healthy development of mammals through A-to-I editing [28]. Pathologically, the overexpression of ADAR1 promotes the proliferation, metastasis, and invasion of tumor cells in liver cancer, esophageal cancer, lung cancer, and chronic myelogenous leukemia [29]. In this study, we revealed that ADAR1 was overexpressed in pancreatic cancer patient specimens and acted as a prognostic biomarker for pancreatic cancer. We also observed a growth-promoting effect of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer cells in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, we revealed that ADAR1 contributed to cancer cell resistance to BET inhibitors, AKT inhibitors, and mTOR inhibitors in PANC-1 cells (Figure 3A). Our objective was to emphasize ADAR1's key role in the tumorigenesis of pancreatic cancer.

The abnormal expression of c-Myc occurs in diverse types of tumors, and it usually causes poor prognosis in advanced malignancies [30]. c-Myc functions as a transcriptional factor, forming a complex with MAX to mediate DNA binding and heterodimerization [31]. c-Myc is up-regulated and is regarded as a hub and central effector of oncogenic signaling in pancreatic cancer [32]. It has been reported that c-Myc alone could drive the initiation and progression of pancreatic cancer [33]. The bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) family proteins are considered to enhance gene transcription by recognizing acetylated lysine residues at the tail of histones and the recruitment of transcriptional regulatory complexes [34].

As a BET bromodomain inhibitor, JQ1 can suppress specific important oncogenes, such as c-Myc, to achieve significant therapeutic effects [35-39]. However, resistance to BET inhibitors exists in many types of tumors [40, 41]. Kumar K et al. found JQ1-resistant cells to be dependent on the oncogene c-Myc, with the down-regulation of c-Myc re-sensitizing the resistant cells to JQ1 [12], a finding that could help determine the mechanism of tumor cell resistance to BET inhibitors. We showed that ADAR1 stabilized the c-Myc protein and that the process was mediated through the PI3K/AKT pathway.
Figure 6. Synergistic inhibition of pancreatic adenocarcinoma with the EZH2 inhibitors and the BET inhibitors. (A and B) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were treated with DMSO, PD0332991 (5 μM), MK2206 (5 μM), AZD1775 (0.5 μM), GSK126 (10 μM), SB203580 (0.5 μM), RAD001 (5 nM), SCH727965 (5 nM), PD0325901 (1 nM), JQ1 (1 μM) for 48 h. Cells were harvested for RT-qPCR analysis (A) and Western Blotting analysis (B). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). **, P < 0.01. (C and D) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with different dose of GSK126 for 48 h. Cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis (C) and RT-qPCR analysis (D). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). ***, P < 0.001. (E and F) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells treated with GSK126 (10 μM) for 0, 3 and 5 days. Cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis (E) and RT-qPCR analysis (F). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). ***, P < 0.001. (G and H) PANC-1 and BxPC-3 cells were infected with indicated plasmids. After 72 h, cells were harvested for Western Blotting analysis (G) and RT-qPCR analysis (H). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3). ***, P < 0.001. (I) the spearman correlation of the mRNA expression level of ADAR1 and EZH2 in pancreatic cancer (P = 4.1e-62, r = 0.74). (J-M) PANC-1 cells were treated with indicated drugs. Cells were collected for MTS assay (J), colony formation assay and xenografts assay (I and M). Data presented as Means ± SD (n = 3 for I and K, n = 6 for I and M). **, P < 0.01;***, P < 0.001.
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in pancreatic cancer cells. We also established that there was a significant positive correlation between ADAR1 and c-Myc in pancreatic cancer specimens (Figure 4). These results provided a reasonable mechanism to explain the effect of ADAR1 on tumor growth-promoting and resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer cells.

The reason for the up-regulation of the expression of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer cells also needed further characterization. George CX et al. had reported that the expression of the ADAR1 protein in adult mice was driven by multiple promoters [42]. Promoter replacement could affect the gene expression related to developmental regulation and tissue specificity [43]. Markle D et al. also found that the ADAR1 KCS element had a significant inconsistency when selectively binding factors in vitro and could not regulate the activity of the constitutive and IFN-induced ADAR1 PI promoter [44]. It can, hence, be seen that the mechanism of increased ADAR1 expression in tumor cells is very complicated.

Given the above important role of ADAR1 in the biological behavior of a tumor, it was extraordinarily significant to investigate the mechanism of increased ADAR1 in various cancers further. In this research, we demonstrated that EZH2 increased ADAR1 expression. Also, the inhibitory effect of EZH2 inhibitors (GSK126) on the expression of ADAR1 indicated that GSK126 could overcome the resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer. Strikingly, this speculation is not only uttered by us but was also suggested in another research on glioma [45].

However, our research had some limitations. Firstly, we could not elucidate the mechanism further that EZH2 increased ADAR1 expression. Secondly, the synergistic effect combining JQ1 with EZH2 changed the dynamic of resistance to JQ1, which could not be explained by our existing research results.

In this study, we systematically investigated the clinical feature and biological role of ADAR1 in pancreatic cancer. Our data demonstrate that ADAR1 was overexpressed in pancreatic cancer tissues and might serve as a prognostic marker for pancreatic cancer patients. Moreover, we revealed that c-Myc played a significant role in mediating ADAR1-induced pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and resistance to BET inhibitors (Figure 7). Finally, after the small molecular screening, the EZH2 inhibitor (GSK126) was found to repress the expression of ADAR1. We further showed that GSK126 and JQ1 had synergistic effects in inhibiting tumor proliferation in pancreatic cancer (Figure 7). Collectively, our results indicate that the aberrant expression of ADAR1 increased pancreatic cancer proliferation via PI3K/AKT/c-Myc signaling, and EZH2 inhibitors regulated the expression of ADAR1 and overcame the resistance to BET inhibitors in pancreatic cancer.
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**Table S1.** Sequences of gene-specific shRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shADAR-1</td>
<td>5’-CCGGGCCACTGTTATCTTCTGCTACTTTGAGTGAAGATGAGCTTCTCTCTGAGAAAGTGAAGATAACAGTGAGGGGTTTTGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shADAR-2</td>
<td>5’-CCGGGCTGGATGTTCTGCTGAGAAAATCTCGAGAACTGGGCATATTCTAACAGGTTTTTGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEZH2-1</td>
<td>5’-CCGGGCTAGGTTAATTGGGACACAAACTCGAGTTTGGTCCCAATACCTAGCTTTTTGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shEZH2-2</td>
<td>5’-CCGGCCCAACATAGGACAGCAAATCTGCTAGATTTGGTCCCATCTATGTTGGGTGTATTTGTTTGT-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2.** Sequences of RT-qPCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>ACCCAGAAGACTGGATGG</td>
<td>TTCAGCTCAGGGATGACCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>ADAR1</td>
<td>TGCTGCTGATCTCAAGTGG</td>
<td>TCGTTCTCCCATAATCAAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Myc</td>
<td>TTCGGTAGTGAAACCGAG</td>
<td>CAGCAGCTGAAATTCTTCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>